# THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE <br> REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING <br> MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2023 <br> 6:00 PM 

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE WILL HOLD A REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING ON MONDAY, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2023, AT 6:00 P.M. AT CITY HALL, 7676 WOODWAY DR., SUITE 300, HOUSTON, TEXAS TO DISCUSS THE AGENDA ITEMS LISTED BELOW.

## DECLARATION OF QUORUM AND CALL TO ORDER

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS COUNCIL - At this time, any person with city-related business may speak to the Council. In compliance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, if a member of the public comments or inquiries about a subject that is not specifically identified on the agenda, a member of Council or a Staff Member may only respond by giving a statement of specific factual information or by reciting existing policy. The City Council may not deliberate or vote on the nonagenda matter.

## AGENDA

1. Consideration and possible action on the Memorial Villages Police Department Monthly Report.
2. Consideration and possible action on employee health insurance renewal with the Memorial Villages Police Department.
3. Consideration and possible action on the Village Fire Department Monthly Report.
4. Consideration and possible action on approving a Joint Participation Interlocal Agreement with Harris County to construct improvements to traffic signals in and around Memorial Drive at Blalock Road, Memorial Drive at Piney Point Road and Memorial Drive at San Felipe Drive.
5. Consideration and possible action on the City Investment Policy.
6. Consideration and possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27A designating the City's Official Newspaper.
7. Consideration and possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27B approving certain persons to be authorized to act as signatories on city accounts at Amegy Bank.
8. Consideration and possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27C approving certain persons to be authorized to act as signatories on investment accounts at Texas Class.
9. Consideration and possible action on a TexPool Resolution No. 2023.02.27D amending authorized representatives on investment accounts at TexPool.
10. Consideration and possible action on the approval of Fiberoptic Cable Improvement Plans on various streets in the City.
11. Consideration and possible action on the Mayor's Monthly Report.
12. Consideration and possible action on the City Administrator's Monthly Report, including but not limited to:
A. Financial Report for January 2023.
B. Blalock Tree Plantings.
C. Partial Building Permit Reimbursement Request - 11502 Shadow Way.
D. Temporary CO Reimbursement Request - 3 Hacienda Lane.
E. Update on Specific Use Permit Projects.
13. Consideration and possible action on temporary trench shoring rental costs associated with the 96 -inch CMP South Piney Point Road sinkhole.
14. Consideration and possible action on repair of six damaged guardrail posts on South Piney Point Road at Buffalo Bayou.
15. Consideration and possible action on a proposal for professional engineering services for the Smithdale Sidewalk Improvements Project.
16. Consideration and possible action on the Engineer's Report.
17. Consideration and possible action on approval of minutes for the Regular Session Meeting held on January 23, 2023.
18. Consideration and possible action on approval of minutes for the Special Session Meeting held on February 13, 2023.
19. Consideration and possible action on any future agenda items, meeting dates, and similar matters.
20. EXECUTIVE SESSION: The City Council will adjourn into closed executive session pursuant to Section 551.071 of the Texas Government Code (Consultation with Attorney).
21. Consideration and possible action on items discussed in Executive Session.
22. Adjourn.

## CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of the February 27, 2023, agenda of items to be considered by the Piney Point Village City Council was posted in a place convenient to the general public in compliance with Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code gmbebridary 24, 2023.


Robert Pennington
City Administrator / City Secretary


In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Piney Point Village will provide for reasonable accommodations for persons attending City Council meetings. This facility is wheelchair accessible and accessible parking spaces are available. To better serve you, your requests should be received 48 hours prior to the meeting. Please contact Robert Pennington, at 713-230-8703. The City Council reserves the right to adjourn into a Closed Executive Session at any time under the Texas Government Code, Section 551.071 to consult with an attorney.

TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
VIA: R. Schultz, Police Chief
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Discuss and take possible action on the Memorial Villages Police Department Monthly Report.

## Agenda Item: 1

This agenda item is for MVPD monthly reporting, to hear and discuss activity of the Department including detail on call volume, traffic stops, citations, and other public safety related incidents. Attached is the monthly report from MVPD.

TO: MVPD Police Commissioners
FROM: R. Schultz, Chief of Police
REF: January 2023 Monthly Report
During the month of January MVPD responded/handled a total of 4,130 calls/incidents. 2,646
House Watch checks were conducted. 696 traffic stops were initiated with 703 citations being issued for 1285 violations. (Note: 23 Assists in Hedwig, 93 in Houston, 0 in Spring Valley and 0 in Hillshire)

Calls/Events by Village were:

| Village | Calls/YTD | House Watches/YTD | Accidents | Citations |  | Response Time |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Bunker Hill: | $1356 / 1356$ | $899 / 899$ | 1 | $316 / 124 / 440$ | $8 @ 2: 34$ |  |
| Piney Point: | $1032 / 1032$ | $611 / 611$ | 4 | $383 / 114 / 497$ | $5 @ 2: 31$ |  |
| Hunters Creek: | $1573 / 1573$ | $1130 / 1130$ | 8 |  | 107/92/199 | 12@4:12 |
|  |  |  |  | Cites/Warn/Total |  |  |
|  |  | $25 @ 3: 22$ |  |  |  |  |

Type and frequency of calls for service/citations include:

| Call Type | $\#$ |  | Call Type | $\#$ |  | Citations |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| False Alarms: | 114 |  | Ord. Violations: | 24 |  | Speeding: |
| Animal Calls: | 37 |  | Information: | 26 |  | Exp. Registration: | 2222

This month the department generated a total of 72 police reports. BH-23 PP-24 HC-25 HOU-0, HED-0, SV-0

| Crimes Against of Persons | (3) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Homicide | 1 |
| Assault | 1 |
| Assault (DV) | 1 |


| Crimes Against Property | $(25)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Burglary of a Motor Vehicle | 3 |  | Burglary of a Habitation |
| Theft | 7 | Fraud/ID | 14 |
|  |  |  | 4 |
| Petty/Quality of Life Crimes/Events | $(44)$ | 3 | Warrants |
| ALPR Hits (valid) | 13 | DWI | 4 |
| Accidents | 12 | Possession of DW | 2 |
| Information Reports | 1 | Recovered Stolen Property | 5 |

Arrest Summary: Individuals Arrested (11)
Warrants 4

| Felony | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| DWI | 4 |


| Budget YTD: | Expense | Budget | \% |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - Personnel Expense: | 418,095 | $5,698,141$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| - Operating Expense: | 156,582 | $1,095,950$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| - Total M\&O Expenditures: | 574,677 | $6,794,253$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| - Capital Expenses: | 8267 | 160,000 | $5.3 \%$ |
| - Net Expenses: | 617,205 | $7,466,433$ | $8.0 \%$ |

## Follow-up on Previous Month Items/Requests from Commission

- Department staff received additional information as requested from TMRS as to plan options. An information packet is being prepared for the finance committee.
- Discussions have been on-going with the department insurance broker as to proposed 2023/2024 health care coverage rates.


## Personnel Changes/Issues/Updates

- TCO Hubertus resigned effective January 27, 2023. The department is fully staffed in all positions.
- Officer Taylor was moved to dayshift effective $1 / 16 / 23$. This was due to Officer Alsalami completing the FTO program.
- Officer Williford submitted his resignation effective $2 / 4 / 2023$. He will be entering the private sector.
- A second officer informed staff that he is a finalist for a Federal Law Enforcement position and that background investigators may be contacting the MVPD.


## Major/Significant Events

- On $1 / 26 / 23$ at 2230 Hours officers responded to the 300 block of Tamerlaine in reference to a disturbance. Upon arrival, the victim was waiting outside and began to walk towards the officers when the victim's roommate exited the driveway in reverse driving at a high rate of speed. The vehicle then struck the victim who was in the street before speeding away. One officer began providing first aid while the other officer pursued the suspect. The suspect attempted to evade the officer before causing an accident at Broken Arrow and Gessner. The suspect was taken into custody and booked into the Harris Cunty Jail on a charge of murder.
- Detectives utilized the ALPR system to assist in 2 auto burglaries this month. Suspect vehicles were identified as were the suspects. One suspect was arrested after an arrest warrant was obtained. The other case is pending a warrant.


## Status Update on Major Projects

- Work was completed with the moving of dispatch equipment to the upstairs conference room. 911 lines have been installed along with CCTV System equipment. All dispatch is now operational from the conference room as of $1 / 31 / 2023$. Demolition the existing area will begin $2 / 6 / 23$.
- The new station wide radio dispatch speaker system has been installed and is operational.
- Plumbing and vent work was completed for the installation of a washer and dryer for the MVPD building.

V-LINC new registrations in January +37
BH - 1551(+15)
HC - 1568 (+10)
PP - 1104 (+8)
Out of Area - $556(+4)$
Priority Even
Total - 12
Fire - 3
EMS - 9
BH Fire - $1 \quad 3: 31$

BH EMS - $5 \quad 2: 12$

| PP Fire - 0 | $0: 00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| PP EMS - 2 | $1: 48$ |


| HC Fire -2 | $3: 14$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| HC EMS -2 | $3: 52$ |

Combined VFD Events (Priority + Radio)
Total-53 3:13
Fire - 39
3:25
EMS - $14 \quad 2: 44$

Radio Call Events
Total - 41
3:28
Fire- 5
3:40
EMS- 36
3:26
Radio Call Events by Village
BH - $11 \quad 3: 40$
PP - 11
4:25
HC-18
3:04

## 2022 Officer Committed Time to Service Report



* This is the minimal time as all
internal calls route through the
3700 number.

2023 Total Incidents

| 2023 | Crimes <br> Against <br> Persons | Crimes <br> Against <br> Property | Quality of Life Incidents | Total | Arrests | Incidents | House Checks | YTD BH INC | YTD BH HC | YTD PP INC | $\begin{gathered} \text { YTD PP } \\ \text { HC } \end{gathered}$ | YTD HC INC | YTD HC <br> HC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 3 | 25 | 44 | 72 | 11 | 4130 | 2646 | 1356 | 899 | 1032 | 611 | 1573 | 1130 |
| February |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3 | 25 | 44 | 72 | 11 | 4130 | 2646 | 1356 | 899 | 1032 | 611 | 1573 | 1130 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 Totals | 13 | 169 | 736 | 918 | 230 | 70665 | 55102 | 22443 | 18249 | 18553 | 14215 | 27937 | 22628 |
| Difference |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



2023 Burglary Map

Address
12102 Rhett

2023 Robberies

Daytime Burglary
Nighttime Burglary
安家 Robbery
$\theta$ (2)


2023 Auto Burglary Map


## 2022 ALPR Data Report

## Plate Reads Summary



## Unique Plate Reads Summary

Total Unique Plate Reads: 485,816


## All Categories

## Hits Report

01/01/2023 $\rightarrow$ 01/30/2023 单 Search


## Top 6 Categories

## Hits Report

01/02/2023 $\rightarrow$ 01/31/2023 盁 Search

Total Hits: 81
Topics (6) ~
Devices (33) ~


## MVPD HOT List

## Hits Report

Total Hits: 4
$\square^{\text {Hits By Day }}$


## Hits Report

01/02/2023 $\rightarrow$ 01/31/2023 血 Search


## Summary Report



| \#1 Gessner S/B at Frostwood | \#15 Hunters Creek Drive S/B at I-10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| \#2 Memorial E/B at Gessner | \#16 Memorial W/B at Creekside |
| \#3 NO ALPR - Future Location | \#17 Memorial W/B at Voss |
| \#4 Memorial N/B at Briar Forrest | \#18 Memorial E/B at Voss |
| \#5 Bunker Hill S/B at Taylorcrest | \#19 S/B Voss at Old Voss Ln 1 |
| \#6 Taylorcrest W/B at Flintdale | \#20 S/B Voss at Old Voss Ln 2 |
| \#7 Memorial E/B at Briar Forrest | \#21 N/B Voss at Magnolia Bend Ln 1 |
| \#8 2200 S. Piney Point N/B | \#22 N/B Voss at Magnolia Bend Ln 2 |
| \#9 N. Piney Point N/B at Memorial | \#23 W/B San Felipe at Buffalo Bayou |
| \#10 Memorial E/B at San Felipe | \#24 N/B Blalock at Memorial |
| \#11 Greenbay E/B Piney Point | \#25 N/B Bunker Hill at Memorial |
| \#12 Piney Point S/B at Gaylord | \#26 S/B Hedwig at Beinhorn |
| \#13 Gessner N/B at Bayou | \#27 Mobile Unit \#181 |
| \#14 Beinhorn W/B at Pipher | \#28 Mobile Speed Trailer |
| \#1 |  |

## \#29 Riverbend Main Entrance

\#30 Beinhorn E/B at Voss
\#31 Memorial E/B at Tealwood (new)
\#32 Greenbay W/B at Memorial
\#33 Strey N/B at Memorial
Private Systems monitored by MVPD
US COINS - I-10 Frontage Road
Memorial Manor NA Lindenwood/Memorial
Greyton Lane NA
Calico NA
Windemere NA
Mott Lane
Kensington NA
Stillforest NA
Farnham Park
Riverbend NA
Pinewood NA
Hampton Court
Bridlewood West NA
N Kuhlman NA
Longwoods NA

Yellow = Bunker Hill
Green $=$ Piney Point
Red $=$ Hunters Creek
Blue $=$ MVPD Mobile
Purple $=$ Privately Owned Systems

Memorial City Mall - 22
Halliburton Project

## Hits/Reads By Camera

$18 / 200,302$
2 3/194,914
3 See \#31
$43 / 160,500$
5 3/65,360
6 3/69,969
7 8/162,797
8 6/251,031
9 1/111,591
10 0/92,6555
11 0/43,627
12 4/164,578
13 12/261,773
14 1/99,182
$150 / 6,884$
$160 / 16,437$

17 0/7,265
18 1/103,703
19 2/136,886
20 4/224,553
21 4/354,569
22 6/407,096
23 3/105,679
24 1/177,727
25 1/16,399
26 0/45,666
27 0/80,544
28 0/20,476
$290 / 5,327$
30 2/16,757
31 5/147,316
$320 / 3,074$
33 0/37,142

Total Reads - 3,788,663
Unique Reads - 485,816
Hits- 155
6 Top Hit List- 81

- Hotlist-4
- Stolen Vehicle
- Stolen Plate
- Gang Member
- Missing
- Amber

Recoveries - 2




## 0 of 0 involved in other crimes $=0 \%$



|  | Program Summary |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2022 Value | $\$ 50,000.00$ | Recovered |  |
| 2022 Value | $\$ 1,733,000.00$ | Recovered | 74 |
| 2021 Value | $\$ 1,683,601.00$ | Recovered | 75 |
| 2020 Value | $\$ 1,147,500.00$ | Recovered | 61 |
| 2019 Value | $\$ 438,000.00$ | Recovered | 22 |
| Program Total | $\$ 5,052,101.00$ |  | 232 |


|  |  |  | INVESTIGATIVE LEADS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime | Plate | Date | ALPR |
| Package Theft | BLN9367 | 29-Jan | $\# 29$ |
| Dumping of Concrete | 261 | 7-Jan | \#4 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
VIA: R. Schultz, Police Chief
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Hear presentation and take possible action on employee health insurance renewal with the Memorial Villages Police Department.

## Agenda Item: 2

This agenda item is to hear presentation on employee health insurance renewal with the Memorial Villages Police Department. The renewal was received from United Healthcare (UHC) at a staggering $49 \%$ or $\$ 296,012$ increase over current rates. The increase is largely isolated to two claimants. Further negotiations and bundling of Dental and Vision with BCBS provided reasonable alternative plan adjustments.

The best option for next year (HDHP 3500, proposed by BCBS) secures comparable coverage for a modest $3.7 \%$ increase from the current plan year. The deductible goes up by $\$ 1000$ per member, however the commission agreed to increase the HSA to assist employees and dependents.

## Alliant

## 2023-2024 Renewal Presentation

February 13, 2023

Memorial Villages Police Department


## Meeting Objectives

## Discussion Items:

Section 1: Executive Summary
Section 2: Benchmarking
Section 3: Financial Update
Section 4: Contribution Scenarios
Section 4: Renewals \& Marketing
Section 5: Appendix


## Executive Summary

## Executive Summary

Fully Insured Medical Renewal - The renewal was received from United Healthcare (UHC) at a 49\% (\$296,012) increase over current rates. We requested UHC to provide additional rate relief, however, UHC will not be able to accommodate this request. The increase is largely because of the High-Cost Claimant \# 1 and \# 2. HCC \#1 is a newborn with End Stage Renal Disease with a total claims of $\$ 1,170,929$ through December 2022. HCC \# 2 has a total claims of $\$ 338,500$ through December 2022 with Sepsis/Gastroenterology. Both High-Cost Claimants \#1 and \# 2 are on-going claims. MVPD is currently running at a $364.7 \%$ loss ratio with total paid claims of $\$ 2,027,740$ and premiums paid of $\$ 555,970$, over the last 12 months.

## Fully Insured Medical Marketing -

- Alliant requested alternate plan designs from UHC; however, the rates are not competitive and ranges from $31.2 \%(\$ 188,301)$ to 54.1\% (\$326,667) increase over current rates.
- Initially, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Texas provided their quote that is close to the UHC Renewal rates ranging from $37 \%$ - $40 \%$ increase above current rates. However, Alliant was able to do further negotiation using the information that MVPD provided on the HCCs. BCBS of Tx quotes are ranging from $4.7 \%$ to $23.6 \%$ increase over current rates. BCBS is also providing an additional $1.5 \%$ discount off the Medical Rates if the Dental and Vision are bundled with the Medical plan.
$>$ BCBS Alternate 1 - This option is at $19 \%(\$ 114,672)$ increase over current rates. This plan has an In-Network Deductible of $\$ 3,500$ individual/ $\$ 7,000$ family, In-Network Out of Pocket Maximum of $\$ 5,000$ individual/ $\$ 10,000$ family, $80 \%$ Co-Insurance, and RX plan design changes. Dental and Vision bundle would be approximately - $\$ 10,782$ savings to the above increase.
$>$ BCBS Alternate 2 - This option is at 4.7\% $(\$ 28,198)$ increase over current rates. This plan has an In-Network Deductible of $\$ 4,500$ individual/ $\$ 10,000$ family, In-Network Out of Pocket Maximum of $\$ 6,900$ individual $/ \$ 13,800$ family, $80 \%$ Co-Insurance, and RX plan design changes. Dental and Vision bundle would be approximately - $\$ 9,485$ savings to the above increase.
> BCBS Alternate 3 - Dual Plan: HDHP and PPO - This option is at $23.6 \%(\$ 142,309)$ increase over current rates with an assumption of $10 \%$ migration from the current HDHP to the PPO plan. The HDHP Plan has an In-Network Deductible of $\$ 3,500$ individual $/ \$ 7,000$ family, InNetwork Out of Pocket Maximum of $\$ 5,000$ individual/ $\$ 10,000$ family, $80 \%$ Co-Insurance, and RX plan design changes. The PPO Plan has an In-Network Deductible of $\$ 1,500$ individual/ $\$ 4,500$ family, In-Network Out of Pocket Maximum of $\$ 4,500$ individual $/ \$ 13,500$ family, $80 \%$ CoInsurance, and RX plan design changes. Dental and Vision bundle would be approximately - $\$ 11,196$ savings to the above increase.
- Aetna, Cigna, Humana, Memorial Hermann, IEBP/TML and Trustmark Insurance Company declined to quote due to uncompetitive rates


## Executive Summary

Dental Renewal - UHC release a one-year rate pass for the 2023-2024 Dental Renewal.

- Dental Marketing - We received quotes from the market ranging from -18.9\% below current rates to $5.5 \%$ above current rates.
- BCBS provided a quote with plan changes at $5.5 \%(\$ 2,226)$ above current rates. BCBS is also providing an additional $1 \%$ discount off the Medical Rates if the Dental is bundled with the Medical plan.

P Please note: MVPD, currently, have two Dental plans: a DHMO and a PPO plan. BCBS does not offer DHMO plans. If MVPD were to move to BCBS for the Dental plans, the current DHMO plan will be replaced by a second Dental PPO Plan. MVPD will offer a Dental High PPO Plan and a Dental Low PPO Plan.
> Guardian quote is at $5.5 \%(\$ 2,240)$ above current rates and must be sold with vision.
> Sunlife quotes is at $-5.1 \%(-\$ 2,063)$ below current rates, with plan changes. Dental rates are stand alone.
> Humana quotes is at $-18.9 \%(-\$ 7,687)$ below current rates, with plan changes. Dental rates are stand alone.
> All other Dental carriers declined to quote.
Vision Renewal - UHC release a one-year rate pass for the 2023-2024 Vision Renewal.

- Vision Marketing - We received competitive quotes with benefits changes from the market ranging from -37.1\% below current to $3.9 \%$ above current vision rates.
$>$ BCBS (utilizing the EyeMed Network) quote is at $3.9 \%$ ( $\$ 324$ ) above current rates with plan changes. BCBS is also providing an additional $0.5 \%$ discount off the Medical Rates if the Vision is bundled with the Medical plan.
> Guardian quote is at $-37.1 \%(-\$ 3,116)$ below current rates with plan changes and must be sold with dental.
> Sunlife quote is at $-20.1 \%(-\$ 1,685)$ below current rates with plan changes. Vision rates are stand alone.
EyeMed quote is at $-22.2 \%(-\$ 1,862)$ below current rates with plan changes
$>$ Humana quotes is at $-4.5 \%$ ( $-\$ 381$ ) below current rates, with plan changes. Vision rates are stand alone.
> All other Vision carriers declined to quote.


## Executive Summary

## Please note:

- Currently, the Medical, Dental and Vision plans are bundled with UHC. UHC offers on-going credits off the Medical rates. If MVPD were to renew with UHC, UHC offers an on-going credits assuming participation requirements are continuously met. If an employee enrolls in both the Medical and Dental plans, there will be a monthly on-going credit of \$3 PEPM applied to the medical rates. If an employee enrolls in both the Medical and Vision plans, there will be a monthly on-going credit of \$2 PEPM applied to the medical rates. If an employee enrolls in the Medical, Dental, and Vision plans, there will be a monthly on-going credit of $\$ 5$ PEPM applied to the medical rates. The estimated annual on-going credits is about $\$ 3,000$.
- If MVPD were to move the Medical away from UHC, the Dental and Vision renewals will not be affected. However, there will not be ongoing credits.

Life and Disability Plans are under rate guarantee until 2024.

## Alliant Insurance Services

## :․:. Medical Renewal - Current vs BCBS

Memorial Villages Police Department - Plan Year: April 2023 - March 2024
Medical


## 2022 Considerations

## MVPD - Plan Year: April 2023 - March 2024

2022-2023 Annualized Premium
\$604,102

Medical

Dental \& Vision
Medical Annualized Premium Ongoing Credits*
Dental \& Vision Bundling Credit** Total Annual Projected Medical Cost

## \$ Change over Current

\% Change over Current

## Dental Premium

Vision Premium
Annual Projected Dental and Vision Cost
\$ Change over Current
\% Change over Current

Total Annual Projected Cost
\$ Change over Current
\% Change over Current

## Current FI UHC

Current UHC
Renewal UHC

Proposed BCBSTX Alt 1 (HDHP 3500)

Proposed BCBSTX Alt 1 (HDHP 3500)

Proposed BCBSTX Alt 2 (HDHP 4000)

Proposed BCBSTX Alt 3 (Dual Option) (HDHP 3500 + PPO 1500) Proposed BCBS of TX

| Current UHC | Renewal UHC | Renewal UHC | Proposed BCBS of TX | Proposed BCBS of TX | Proposed BCBS of TX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$604,102 | \$900,114 | \$718,775 | \$718,775 | \$632,301 | \$746,412 |
| -\$3,000 | -\$3,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | -\$10,782 | -\$9,485 | -\$11,196 |
| \$601,102 | \$897,114 | \$718,775 | \$707,993 | \$622,816 | \$735,216 |
|  | \$296,012 | \$117,672 | \$106,891 | \$21,714 | \$134,113 |
|  | 49.2\% | 19.6\% | 17.8\% | 3.6\% | 22.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$40,760 | \$40,760 | \$40,760 | \$42,986 | \$42,986 | \$42,986 |
| \$8,391 | \$8,391 | \$8,391 | \$8,714 | \$8,714 | \$8,714 |
| \$49,150 | \$49,150 | \$49,150 | \$51,700 | \$51,700 | \$51,700 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,550 | \$2,550 | \$2,550 |
|  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.2\% | 5.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$650,253 | \$946,265 | \$767,925 | \$759,694 | \$674,517 | \$786,916 |
|  | \$296,012 | \$117,672 | \$109,441 | \$24,264 | \$136,663 |
|  | 45.5\% | 18.1\% | 16.8\% | 3.7\% | 21.0\% |

## Summary - Historical Rates

|  | December Enrollment | $\begin{array}{r} \text { BCBS } \\ 2019-2020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS } \\ 2020-2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ \text { 2021-2023 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ 2022-2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ \text { 2023-2024 } \\ \text { Renewal } \end{gathered}$ | BCBS Alt 1 <br> 2023-2024 <br> Proposed | BCBS Alt 2 2023-2024 <br> Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HSAPlan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | 32 | \$459.84 | \$482.91 | \$415.33 | \$477.63 | \$711.67 | \$569.41 | \$500.91 |
| Employee + Spouse | 6 | \$1,057.62 | \$1,110.67 | \$955.24 | \$1,098.53 | \$1,636.81 | \$1,184.90 | \$1,042.34 |
| Employee + Child(ren) | 9 | \$827.71 | \$869.23 | \$747.59 | \$859.73 | \$1,281.00 | \$1,128.29 | \$992.54 |
| Employee + Family | 14 | \$1,425.49 | \$1,497.00 | \$1,287.51 | \$1,480.64 | \$2,206.16 | \$1,743.77 | \$1,533.98 |
| Annual Estimated Premium | 61 | \$581,602 | \$610,779 | \$525,305 | \$604,102 | \$900,114 | \$718,775 | \$632,301 |
| Percentage of Change |  | -11\% | 5\% | -14\% | 15\% | 49\% | 19.0\% | 4.7\% |

Based on December 2022 headcount

- The 2023-2024 BCBS Alternate 1 proposed annual estimated premium increased 17.7\% BCBS 2020-2021 actual annual premium.
- The 2023-2024 BCBS Alternate 2 proposed annual estimated premium increased 3.5\% BCBS 2020-2021 actual annual premium.


## Alliant Insurance Services

## :7: 2 : Summary - Historical Employee Contributions

| Piney Point | December Enrollment | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS } \\ 2019-2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS } \\ 2020-2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ 2021-2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ 2022-2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ \text { 2023-2024 } \\ \text { Renewal } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS Alt } 1 \\ \text { 2023-2024 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | BCBS Alt 2 <br> 2023-2024 <br> Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HSAPlan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | 5 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Employee + Spouse | 1 | \$298.89 | \$313.88 | \$269.96 | \$310.45 | \$462.57 | \$307.75 | \$270.72 |
| Employee + Child(ren) | 1 | \$183.94 | \$193.16 | \$166.13 | \$191.05 | \$284.67 | \$279.44 | \$245.82 |
| Employee + Family | 0 | \$482.83 | \$507.05 | \$436.09 | \$501.51 | \$747.25 | \$587.18 | \$516.54 |
| Annual Estimated Premium | 7 | \$5,794 | \$6,084 | \$5,233 | \$6,018 | \$8,967 | \$7,046 | \$6,198 |
| Percentage of Change |  | -15\% | 5\% | -14\% | 15\% | 49\% | 17\% | 3\% |

Piney Point:MYPDays $100 \%$ of the EEOnly tier and $50 \%$ ofthe remaining cost for EE+Spouse, EE+Child(ren), and EE+Family

| MVPD | December Enrollment | $\begin{array}{r} \text { BCBS } \\ 2019-2020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS } \\ 2020-2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ 2021-2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ \text { 2022-2023 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ \text { 2023-2024 } \\ \text { Renewal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS Alt } 1 \\ 2023-2024 \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BCBS Alt } 2 \\ 2023-2024 \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HSAPlan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | 20 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Employee + Spouse | 4 | \$298.89 | \$313.88 | \$269.96 | \$155.23 | \$231.29 | \$153.87 | \$135.36 |
| Employee + Child(ren) | 8 | \$183.94 | \$193.16 | \$166.13 | \$95.53 | \$142.33 | \$139.72 | \$122.91 |
| Employee + Family | 11 | \$482.83 | \$507.05 | \$436.09 | \$250.75 | \$373.62 | \$293.59 | \$258.27 |
| Annual Estimated Premium | 43 | \$95,737 | \$100,540 | \$86,470 | \$49,721 | \$74,084 | \$59,553 | \$52,388 |
| Percentage of Change |  | -21\% | 5\% | -14\% | -42\% | 49\% | 20\% | 5\% |

MVPD: MVPDpays $100 \%$ of the EEOnly tier and $75 \%$ of the remaining cost for EE+Spouse, EE+Child(ren), and EE+Family

| Bunkerhill | December Enrollment | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS } \\ 2019-2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS } \\ 2020-2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ \text { 2021-2023 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ 2022-2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UHC } \\ 2023-2024 \\ \text { Renewal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCBS Alt } 1 \\ 2023-2024 \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | BCBS Alt 2 2023-2024 <br> Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HSAPlan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | 7 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Employee + Spouse | 1 | \$298.89 | \$313.88 | \$269.96 | \$155.23 | \$231.29 | \$153.87 | \$135.36 |
| Employee + Child(ren) | 0 | \$183.94 | \$193.16 | \$166.13 | \$95.53 | \$142.33 | \$139.72 | \$122.91 |
| Employee + Family | 3 | \$482.83 | \$507.05 | \$436.09 | \$250.75 | \$373.62 | \$293.59 | \$258.27 |
| Annual Estimated Premium | 11 | \$20,968 | \$22,020 | \$18,939 | \$10,890 | \$16,226 | \$12,416 | \$10,922 |
| Percentage of Change |  | -18\% | 5\% | -14\% | -42\% | 49\% | 14\% | 0\% |

[^0]
## 2022-2023 HSA Contributions

| Plan Year HSA <br> Contribution Amounts | Employee Only | Employee + Spouse | Employee + Child or <br> Children | Employee + Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MVPD contribution | $\$ 1,500$ | $\$ 2,700$ | $\$ 2,700$ | $\$ 2,700$ |
| Physical/Wellness | Up to $\$ 1,000$ | Up to $\$ 1,000$ | Up to $\$ 1,000$ | Up to $\$ 1,000$ |
| Physical Assessment <br> PT | Up to $\$ 500$ | Up to $\$ 500$ | Up to $\$ 500$ | Up to $\$ 500$ |
| *COVID-19 Vaccination | $\$ 250$ | $\$ 250$ | $\$ 250$ | $\$ 250$ |
| 2022 Total MVPD HSA <br> contributions | $\$ 3,250$ | $\$ 4,450$ | $\$ 4,450$ | $\$ 4,450$ |

*The COVID-19 vaccination contribution is for new hires and employees who have not already received their COVID-19 vaccine.

> 2023-2024 HSA Contributions Proposed with BCBS Alternate 2

| Plan Year HSA <br> Contribution Amounts | Employee Only | Employee + Spouse | Employee + Child or <br> Children | Employee + Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MVPD contribution | $\$ 2,350$ | $\$ 3,700$ | $\$ 3,700$ | $\$ 3,700$ |
| Physical/Wellness | Up to $\$ 1,000$ | Up to $\$ 1,000$ | Up to $\$ 1,000$ | Up to $\$ 1,000$ |
| Physical Assessment <br> PT | Up to $\$ 500$ | Up to $\$ 500$ | Up to $\$ 500$ | Up to $\$ 500$ |
| ${ }^{*}$ COVID-19 Vaccination | $\$ 250$ | $\$ 250$ | $\$ 250$ | $\$ 250$ |
| 2023 Total MVPD HSA <br> contributions | $\$ 3,850$ | $\$ 5,200$ | $\$ 5,200$ | $\$ 5,200$ |
| Difference between <br> $2022 ~ \& ~ 2023 ~ H S A ~$ <br> Contributions $\$ 600$ $\$ 750$ $\$ 750$ $\$ 750$ <br> Total Number of <br> Enrollments 32 6 9 14 <br> Total 2023 <br> HSAAmounts $\$ 19,200$ $\$ 4,500$ $\$ 6,750$ $\$ 10,500$ |  |  |  |  | |  |
| :--- |

*Total 2023 HSA I Amount - \$40,950

## Benchmarking

## Benchmark Report－Medical Benefits Summary

## Alliant Book of Business Benchmarking

Full－Time Employee Range
Industry
Plan Types
Region／Location

50－99
Government／Public Sector
HDHP＇s
South


## Benchmark Report - Medical Benefits Summary Averages

## HDHP

| Individual Benefit <br> (In-Network) | HDHP W/ H.S.A | Location <br> South | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ 50-99 \end{gathered}$ | Industry <br> Government/Public Sector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan Feature |  |  |  |  |
| Deductible - IND | \$3,500 | \$3,256 | \$3,284 | \$2,394 |
| Deductible - FAM | \$7,000 | \$6,509 | \$6,647 | \$4,810 |
| Coinsurance | 20\% | 14\% | 11\% | 12\% |
| Out-of-Pocket Maximum - IND | \$6,350 | \$5,049 | \$5,032 | \$3,951 |
| Out-of-Pocket Maximum - FAM | \$12,700 | \$10,017 | \$9,863 | \$7,769 |
| Employer HSA Contributions |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$1,500 | \$655 | \$950 | \$1,062 |
| EE + Family | \$2,700 | \$1,265 | \$1,632 | \$1,727 |
| Employee Contributions |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$0 | \$99 | \$124 | \$107 |
| EE + Spouse | \$275 | \$386 | \$458 | \$319 |
| EE + Child(ren) | \$215 | \$305 | \$390 | \$290 |
| EE + Family | \$370 | \$564 | \$707 | \$519 |
| Value Measures |  |  |  |  |
| Claros Relative Value | 68.4\% | 74.5\% | 73.6\% | 78.2\% |

## : : : : : $:$ Benchmark Report - Medical Benefits Summary by Industry

Benchmarking
Financial Impact of Plan \& Contribution Variance

|  | Memorial Villages Police Department | Government/Public Sector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HDHP W/ H.S.A | HDHP |
| Medical Plan Design (Mean) |  |  |
| Deductible |  |  |
| Individual | \$3,500 | \$2,394 |
| Family | \$7,000 | \$4,810 |
| Coinsurance | 20\% | 12\% |
| Out of Pocket Maximum |  |  |
| Individual | \$6,350 | \$3,951 |
| Family | \$12,700 | \$7,769 |
| Office Visit |  |  |
| PCP | 20\% | 12\% |
| Specialist | 20\% | 12\% |
| Prescription Drugs |  |  |
| Generic | 20\% | 12\% |
| Preferred Brand | 20\% | 12\% |
| Non-Preferred Brand | 20\% | 12\% |
| Specialty | 20\% | 12\% |
| ER-HSA Funding |  |  |
| Individual | \$1,500 | \$1,062 |
| Family | \$2,700 | \$1,727 |
| Relative Value (Claros) | 68.42\% | 78.20\% |
| Premium Rates |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$477.63 | \$545.90 |
| EE + Spouse | \$1,098.53 | \$1,255.55 |
| EE + Child(ren) | \$859.73 | \$982.62 |
| EE + Family | \$1,480.64 | \$1,692.28 |
| Employee Contributions |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$0.00 | \$106.50 |
| EE + Spouse | \$274.63 | \$318.60 |
| EE + Child(ren) | \$214.93 | \$289.85 |
| EE + Family | \$370.16 | \$518.55 |
| Assumed Enrolled |  |  |
| Employee Only | 32 | 32 |
| EE + Spouse | 6 | 6 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 9 | 9 |
| EE + Family | 14 | 14 |
| Annual Costs |  |  |
| Total Cost | \$604,102 | \$690,453 |
| Employee Contributions | \$105,173 | \$182,256 |
| Net Employer Cost | \$498,930 | \$508,197 |
| ER-HSA Expense | \$126,300 | \$84,079 |
| Impact of Variance - Increase/(Decrease) in Costs |  |  |
| Increase in Employer costs due to Plan Design (Actuarial Value Adjustment) |  | \$86,351 |
| Decrease in Employer costs due to change in Employer Contributions |  | $(\$ 77,084)$ |
| Decrease in Employer costs due to change in ER-funded HSA contributions |  | $(\$ 42,221)$ |
| Estimated Annual Differential in Memorial Villages Police Department (Net) Annual Medical Cost and Benchmark |  | $(\$ 32,954)$ |

## Financial Update

## Summary - 2022 Medical/Rx Plan Performance

The 2022-2023 YTD medical plan is running at a loss ratio of $186.2 \%$ through the end of December 2022 when comparing total paid claims of $\$ 802,248$ to premiums paid of $\$ 430,915$. The loss ratio is at $364.7 \%$ on a rolling 12 month basis from January through December 2022.

At a loss ratio of $186.2 \%$, the carrier is losing money. Standardly, we would want MVPD running in the $80 \%$ loss ratio range.
$\checkmark$ For the Rolling 12 months, there are three (3) HCC's over \$50,000 through December 2022 with total claims of \$1,569,703.
High-Cost claimant \#1 makes up $58 \%(\$ 1,170,929)$ of the total claims with a single Live Birth and End Stage Renal Disease. HighCost claimant \#2 makes up 17\% of the total claims with Sepsis and Gastroenterology. Both claimant \#1 and \#2 are considered on-going.
$\checkmark$ The 2022-2023 YTD average headcount is 60 vs 60 for 2021-2022.
$\checkmark$ The 2022-2023 YTD total claims PEPM is $\$ 1,480.16$ vs $\$ 2,941.35$ for 2021-2022.
$\checkmark$ The 2022-2023 YTD total premium PEPM is $\$ 795.05$ vs $\$ 691.05$ for 2021-2022.

## -・マ V V <br> Summary - Historical Plan Performance



|  | Average Enrollment | Claims PEPM | Premiums PEPM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2020-2021$ | 60 | $\$ 644.76$ |  |
| $2021-2022$ | 60 | $\$ 2,941.35$ | $\$ 795.28$ |
| 2022-2023 YTD | 60 | $\$ 1,480.16$ | $\$ 691.05$ |
| Rolling 12 Months | 60 | $\$ 2,796.88$ | $\$ 795.05$ |

## 2022-2023 YTD Monthly Experience

April 1, 2022 - March 31, 2023 Medical/Rx Claims Analysis
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & & & & \\ \text { Month } & & & & \\ \text { Total Claims Paid } \\ \text { (Medical \&Rx) }\end{array}\right]$

## OBSERVATIONS

- Year-to-date the plan is running at a $186.2 \%$ loss ratio
- Medical claims and pharmacy claims are combined


## Monthly Financial Reporting Rolling 12

Rolling 12 Months Medical／Rx Claims Analysis

| Month | Single Subscribers | Family Subscribers | Total Subscribers | Total Claims Paid （Medical \＆Rx） | Premiums | Loss Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan－22 | 33 | 26 | 59 | \＄136，876 | \＄39，913 | 342．9\％ |
| Feb－22 | 34 | 28 | 62 | \＄594，217 | \＄42，571 | 1395．8\％ |
| Mar－22 | 34 | 28 | 62 | \＄494，399 | \＄42，571 | 1161．4\％ |
| Apr－22 | 32 | 28 | 60 | \＄27，147 | \＄48，002 | 56．6\％ |
| May－22 | 32 | 28 | 60 | \＄61，739 | \＄48，002 | 128．6\％ |
| Jun－22 | 32 | 27 | 59 | \＄101，563 | \＄47，142 | 215．4\％ |
| Jul－22 | 31 | 28 | 59 | \＄74，149 | \＄47，524 | 156．0\％ |
| Aug－22 | 34 | 28 | 62 | \＄114，210 | \＄48，957 | 233．3\％ |
| Sep－22 | 35 | 26 | 61 | \＄114，217 | \＄46，473 | 245．8\％ |
| Oct－22 | 34 | 26 | 60 | \＄176，477 | \＄46，616 | 378．6\％ |
| Nov－22 | 33 | 27 | 60 | \＄77，561 | \＄47，858 | 162．1\％ |
| Dec－22 | 32 | 29 | 61 | \＄55，185 | \＄50，342 | 109．6\％ |
| Rolling 12 Total | 396 | 329 | 725 | \＄2，027，740 | \＄555，970 | 364．7\％ |
| Rolling 12 PEPM |  |  |  | \＄2，796．88 | \＄766．86 | 为边8．8 |

## OBSERVATIONS

－Year－to－date the plan is running at a $364.7 \%$ loss ratio
－Medical claims and pharmacy claims are combined
－From January 1， 2022 －December 31，2022，there are 3 High Cost Claimants．

## 2021-2022 Rolling 12 Large Claimants

Rolling 12 Months
Large Claimants Over \$50,000

| Claimant | Primary Diagnosis | Total Medical/Rx Paid Clams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Claimant 1 | Single Live Birth, End Stage Renal Disease | \$1,170,929 |
| Claimant 2 | Sepsis, Gastroenterology | \$338,500 |
| Claimant 3 | Type 2 Diabetes | \$60,274 |
| Total |  | \$1,569,703 |

- Large claims make up $77 \%$ of total paid claims.
- High-Cost claimant \#1 makes up $58 \%(\$ 1,170,929)$ of the total claims with a single Live Birth and End Stage Renal Disease.
- High-Cost claimant \#2 makes up 17\% of the total claims with Sepsis and Gastroenterology.
- Both High-Cost Claimants \#1 and \# 2 are on-going claims

| Expected Incidence - claims per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EE's / <br> Ded <br> Level | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 90,000$ | $\$ 110,00$ <br> 0 |  |
| 45 | 3.66 | 1.80 | 1.25 | 0.80 | 0.58 |  |
| 55 | 4.47 | 2.20 | 1.53 | 0.98 | 0.71 |  |
| 65 | 5.28 | 2.60 | 1.81 | 1.16 | 0.84 |  |
| 75 | 6.09 | 3.00 | 2.09 | 1.34 | 0.97 |  |
| 85 | 6.91 | 3.40 | 2.37 | 1.52 | 1.10 |  |

## Monthly - Prior Plan Annual Experience - 2021-2022

April 1, 2021 - March 31, 2022 Medical/Rx Claims Analysis

| Month | Single Subscribers | Family Subscribers | Total Subscribers | Total Claims Paid <br> (Medical \&Rx) | Premiums | Loss Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## OBSERVATIONS

- Year-to-date the plan is running at a $425.6 \%$ loss ratio
- Medical claims and pharmacy claims are combined


## Contribution Scenarios

## Contributions - Medical - BCBS- Alternate 1


$\rightarrow$ リ $1 \uparrow$

| Piney Point | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 Current |  |  | 2023-2024 BCBS Renewal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| HSA Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EE Only | 5 | 5 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$569.41 | \$0.00 | \$569.41 |
| EE + Spouse | 1 | 1 | \$1,098.53 | \$310.45 | \$788.08 | \$1,184.90 | \$307.75 | \$877.16 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 1 | 1 | \$859.73 | \$191.05 | \$668.68 | \$1,128.29 | \$279.44 | \$848.85 |
| EE + Family | 0 | 0 | \$1,480.64 | \$501.51 | \$979.14 | \$1,743.77 | \$587.18 | \$1,156.59 |
| Annual Costs | 7 | 7 | \$52,157 | \$6,018 | \$46,139 | \$61,923 | \$7,046 | \$54,877 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$620.92 |  |  | \$737.18 |  |  |
| Total | 7 | 7 | \$52,157 | \$6,018 | \$46,139 | \$61,923 | \$7,046 | \$54,877 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$9,766 | \$1,028 | \$8,738 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 19\% | 17\% | 19\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022-2023 Current | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ - 2024 BCBS <br> Renewal |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $28 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

PineyPoint:MVPDpays $100 \%$ of the EE Onlytier and $50 \%$ of the remaining cost for EE + Spouse, EE+Child(ren), and EE+Family

| MVPD | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 Current |  |  | 2023-2024 BCBS Renewal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| HSA Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EE Only | 20 | 20 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$569.41 | \$0.00 | \$569.41 |
| EE + Spouse | 4 | 4 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,184.90 | \$153.87 | \$1,031.03 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 8 | 8 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$1,128.29 | \$139.72 | \$988.57 |
| EE + Family | 11 | 11 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,743.77 | \$293.59 | \$1,450.18 |
| Annual Costs | 43 | 43 | \$445,339 | \$49,721 | \$395,619 | \$532,027 | \$59,553 | \$472,474 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$863.06 |  |  | \$1,031.06 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 43 | 43 | \$445,339 | \$49,721 | \$395,619 | \$532,027 | \$59,553 | \$472,474 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$86,688 | \$9,832 | \$76,856 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% |

MVPD: MVPDpays $100 \%$ of the EE Only tier and $75 \%$ of the remaining cost for EE+Spouse, EE+Child(ren), and EE+Family

| Bunkerhill | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 Current |  |  | 2023-2024 BCBS Renewal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| HSA Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EE Only | 7 | 7 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$569.41 | \$0.00 | \$569.41 |
| EE + Spouse | 1 | 1 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,184.90 | \$153.87 | \$1,031.03 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 0 | 0 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$1,128.29 | \$139.72 | \$988.57 |
| EE + Family | 3 | 3 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,743.77 | \$293.59 | \$1,450.18 |
| Annual Costs | 11 | 11 | \$106,606 | \$10,890 | \$95,717 | \$124,825 | \$12,416 | \$112,409 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$807.62 |  |  | \$945.64 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11 | 11 | \$106,606 | \$10,890 | \$95,717 | \$124,825 | \$12,416 | \$112,409 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$18,219 | \$1,526 | \$16,693 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 17\% | 14\% | 17\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2} \mathbf{- 2 0 2 3}$ Current | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3} \mathbf{- 2 0 2 4}$ BCBS Renewal |
|  |  |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

\% Increase
Bunkerhill:MVDpays $100 \%$ of the EE Onlytier and $75 \%$ of the remaining cost for EE+Spouse, EE+Child(ren), and EE+Family

## Contributions - Medical - BCBS- Alternate 2

## Alliant Insurance Services

| Piney Point | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 Current |  |  | 2023-2024 BCBS Renewal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| HSA Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EE Only | 5 | 5 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$500.91 | \$0.00 | \$500.91 |
| EE + Spouse | 1 | 1 | \$1,098.53 | \$310.45 | \$788.08 | \$1,042.34 | \$270.72 | \$771.63 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 1 | 1 | \$859.73 | \$191.05 | \$668.68 | \$992.54 | \$245.82 | \$746.73 |
| EE + Family | 0 | 0 | \$1,480.64 | \$501.51 | \$979.14 | \$1,533.98 | \$516.54 | \$1,017.45 |
| Annual Costs | 7 | 7 | \$52,157 | \$6,018 | \$46,139 | \$54,473 | \$6,198 | \$48,275 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$620.92 |  |  | \$648.49 |  |  |
| Total | 7 | 7 | \$52,157 | \$6,018 | \$46,139 | \$54,473 | \$6,198 | \$48,275 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,316 | \$180 | \$2,136 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4\% | 3.0\% | 4.6\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022 - 2023 Current | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ - 2024 BCBS <br> Renewal |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $28 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

PineyPoint:MVPDpays $100 \%$ of the EE Only tier and $50 \%$ of the remaining cost for EE + Spouse, EE+Child(ren), and EE+Family

| MVPD | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 Current |  |  | 2023-2024 BCBS Renewal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| HSA Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EE Only | 20 | 20 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$500.91 | \$0.00 | \$500.91 |
| EE + Spouse | 4 | 4 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,042.34 | \$135.36 | \$906.98 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 8 | 8 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$992.54 | \$122.91 | \$869.63 |
| EE + Family | 11 | 11 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,533.98 | \$258.27 | \$1,275.71 |
| Annual Costs | 43 | 43 | \$445,339 | \$49,721 | \$395,619 | \$468,020 | \$52,388 | \$415,632 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$863.06 |  |  | \$907.02 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 43 | 43 | \$445,339 | \$49,721 | \$395,619 | \$468,020 | \$52,388 | \$415,632 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$22,681 | \$2,667 | \$20,014 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 5.1\% | 5.4\% | 5.1\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2} \mathbf{- 2 0 2 3}$ Current | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3} \mathbf{- 2 0 2 4}$ BCBS Renewal |
|  |  |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

MVPD:MVPDays $100 \%$ of the EE Only tier and $75 \%$ of the remaining cost for EE+Spouse, EE+Child(ren), and EE+Family

| Bunkerhill | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 Current |  |  | 2023-2024 BCBS Renewal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| HSA Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EE Only | 7 | 7 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$500.91 | \$0.00 | \$500.91 |
| EE + Spouse | 1 | 1 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,042.34 | \$135.36 | \$906.98 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 0 | 0 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$992.54 | \$122.91 | \$869.63 |
| EE + Family | 3 | 3 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,533.98 | \$258.27 | \$1,275.71 |
| Annual Costs | 11 | 11 | \$106,606 | \$10,890 | \$95,717 | \$109,808 | \$10,922 | \$98,886 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$807.62 |  |  | \$831.88 |  |  |
| Total | 11 | 11 | \$106,606 | \$10,890 | \$95,717 | \$109,808 | \$10,922 | \$98,886 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,201 | \$32 | \$3,169 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2} \mathbf{- 2 0 2 3}$ Current | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3} \mathbf{- 2 0 2 4}$ BCBS Renewal |
|  |  |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

[^1]
## Contributions - Medical - BCBS Alt 1 - Cost Share

- v v v
-•・ワ

| Piney Point | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 32 | 32 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$569.41 | \$0.00 | \$569.41 |
| EE + Spouse | 6 | 6 | \$1,098.53 | \$310.45 | \$788.08 | \$1,184.90 | \$369.44 | \$815.46 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 9 | 9 | \$859.73 | \$191.05 | \$668.68 | \$1,128.29 | \$227.35 | \$900.94 |
| EE + Family | 14 | 14 | \$1,480.64 | \$501.51 | \$979.14 | \$1,743.77 | \$596.79 | \$1,146.98 |
| Annual Costs | 61 | 61 | \$604,102 | \$127,239 | \$476,864 | \$718,775 | \$151,414 | \$567,361 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$825.28 |  |  | \$981.93 |  |  |
| Total | 61 | 61 | \$604,102 | \$127,239 | \$476,864 | \$718,775 | \$151,414 | \$567,361 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$114,672 | \$24,176 | \$90,497 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 19.0\% | 19.0\% | 19.0\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2022-2023$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 0 2 4}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

# Contributions - Medical - BCBS Alt 1 - Cost Share 

| MVPD/BH | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 32 | 32 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$569.41 | \$0.00 | \$569.41 |
| EE + Spouse | 6 | 6 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,184.90 | \$184.72 | \$1,000.18 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 9 | 9 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$1,128.29 | \$113.67 | \$1,014.62 |
| EE + Family | 14 | 14 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,743.77 | \$298.40 | \$1,445.37 |
| Annual Costs | 61 | 61 | \$604,102 | \$63,619 | \$540,483 | \$718,775 | \$75,707 | \$643,068 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$825.28 |  |  | \$981.93 |  |  |
| Total | 61 | 61 | \$604,102 | \$63,619 | \$540,483 | \$718,775 | \$75,707 | \$643,068 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$114,672 | \$12,088 | \$102,584 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 19.0\% | 19.0\% | 19.0\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2022-2023$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 0 2 4}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

## Contributions - Medical - BCBS Alt 2 - Cost Share

-••••

| Piney Point | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 32 | 32 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$500.91 | \$0.00 | \$500.91 |
| EE + Spouse | 6 | 6 | \$1,098.53 | \$310.45 | \$788.08 | \$1,042.34 | \$325.04 | \$717.30 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 9 | 9 | \$859.73 | \$191.05 | \$668.68 | \$992.54 | \$200.03 | \$792.51 |
| EE + Family | 14 | 14 | \$1,480.64 | \$501.51 | \$979.14 | \$1,533.98 | \$525.08 | \$1,008.90 |
| Annual Costs | 61 | 61 | \$604,102 | \$127,239 | \$476,864 | \$632,301 | \$133,220 | \$499,081 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$825.28 |  |  | \$863.80 |  |  |
| Total | 61 | 61 | \$604,102 | \$127,239 | \$476,864 | \$632,301 | \$133,220 | \$499,081 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,198 | \$5,981 | \$22,218 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7\% | 4.7\% | 4.7\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

Contributions - Medical - BCBS Alt 2 - Cost Share

| MVPD /BH Medical/Rx | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 32 | 32 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$500.91 | \$0.00 | \$500.91 |
| EE + Spouse | 6 | 6 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,042.34 | \$162.52 | \$879.82 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 9 | 9 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$992.54 | \$100.01 | \$892.53 |
| EE + Family | 14 | 14 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,533.98 | \$262.54 | \$1,271.44 |
| Annual Costs | 61 | 61 | \$604,102 | \$63,619 | \$540,483 | \$632,301 | \$66,609 | \$565,692 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$825.28 |  |  | \$863.80 |  |  |
| Total | 61 | 61 | \$604,102 | \$63,619 | \$540,483 | \$632,301 | \$66,609 | \$565,692 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,198 | \$2,990 | \$25,209 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7\% | 4.7\% | 4.7\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022-2023 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 0 2 4}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

Contributions - Medical - BCBS Alt 1 - Cost Share
-ワ ワ • •

| Piney Point | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 5 | 5 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$569.41 | \$0.00 | \$569.41 |
| EE + Spouse | 1 | 1 | \$1,098.53 | \$310.45 | \$788.08 | \$1,184.90 | \$369.44 | \$815.46 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 1 | 1 | \$859.73 | \$191.05 | \$668.68 | \$1,128.29 | \$227.35 | \$900.94 |
| EE + Family | 0 | 0 | \$1,480.64 | \$501.51 | \$979.14 | \$1,743.77 | \$596.79 | \$1,146.98 |
| Annual Costs | 7 | 7 | \$52,157 | \$6,018 | \$46,139 | \$61,923 | \$7,161 | \$54,761 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$620.92 |  |  | \$737.18 |  |  |
| Total | 7 | 7 | \$52,157 | \$6,018 | \$46,139 | \$61,923 | \$7,161 | \$54,761 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$9,766 | \$1,143 | \$8,622 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 18.7\% | 19.0\% | 18.7\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022-2023 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 0 2 4}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |


| MVPD | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| Ee Only | 20 | 20 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$569.41 | \$0.00 | \$569.41 |
| EE + Spouse | 4 | 4 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,184.90 | \$184.72 | \$1,000.18 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 8 | 8 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$1,128.29 | \$113.67 | \$1,014.62 |
| EE + Family | 11 | 11 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,743.77 | \$298.40 | \$1,445.37 |
| Annual Costs | 43 | 43 | \$445,339 | \$49,721 | \$395,619 | \$532,027 | \$59,168 | \$472,859 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$863.06 |  |  | \$1,031.06 |  |  |
| Total | 43 | 43 | \$445,339 | \$49,721 | \$395,619 | \$532,027 | \$59,168 | \$472,859 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$86,688 | \$9,447 | \$77,241 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 19.5\% | 19.0\% | 19.5\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022-2023 | $2023-2024$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |


| BH | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 7 | 7 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$569.41 | \$0.00 | \$569.41 |
| EE + Spouse | 1 | 1 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,184.90 | \$184.72 | \$1,000.18 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 0 | 0 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$1,128.29 | \$113.67 | \$1,014.62 |
| EE + Family | 3 | 3 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,743.77 | \$298.40 | \$1,445.37 |
| Annual Costs | 11 | 11 | \$106,606 | \$10,890 | \$95,717 | \$124,825 | \$12,959 | \$111,866 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$807.62 |  |  | \$945.64 |  |  |
| Total | 11 | 11 | \$106,606 | \$10,890 | \$95,717 | \$124,825 | \$12,959 | \$111,866 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$18,219 | \$2,069 | \$16,149 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 17.1\% | 19.0\% | 16.9\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022-2023 | $2023-2024$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

Contributions - Medical - BCBS Alt 2 - Cost Share

| Piney Point | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 5 | 5 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$500.91 | \$0.00 | \$500.91 |
| EE + Spouse | 1 | 1 | \$1,098.53 | \$310.45 | \$788.08 | \$1,042.34 | \$325.04 | \$717.30 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 1 | 1 | \$859.73 | \$191.05 | \$668.68 | \$992.54 | \$200.03 | \$792.51 |
| EE + Family | 0 | 0 | \$1,480.64 | \$501.51 | \$979.14 | \$1,533.98 | \$525.08 | \$1,008.90 |
| Annual Costs | 7 | 7 | \$52,157 | \$6,018 | \$46,139 | \$54,473 | \$6,301 | \$48,172 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$620.92 |  |  | \$648.49 |  |  |
| Total | 7 | 7 | \$52,157 | \$6,018 | \$46,139 | \$54,473 | \$6,301 | \$48,172 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,316 | \$283 | \$2,033 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4\% | 4.7\% | 4.4\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2022-2023$ | $2023-2024$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |


| MVPD | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 20 | 20 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$500.91 | \$0.00 | \$500.91 |
| EE + Spouse | 4 | 4 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,042.34 | \$162.52 | \$879.82 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 8 | 8 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$992.54 | \$100.01 | \$892.53 |
| EE + Family | 11 | 11 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,533.98 | \$262.54 | \$1,271.44 |
| Annual Costs | 43 | 43 | \$445,339 | \$49,721 | \$395,619 | \$468,020 | \$52,057 | \$415,963 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$863.06 |  |  | \$907.02 |  |  |
| Total | 43 | 43 | \$445,339 | \$49,721 | \$395,619 | \$468,020 | \$52,057 | \$415,963 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$22,681 | \$2,337 | \$20,344 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 5.1\% | 4.7\% | 5.1\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022-2023 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 0 2 4}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |


| BH | Enrollment |  | 2022-2023 |  |  | 2023-2024 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Rx | Current | Projected | Rates | EE | ER | Rates | EE | ER |
| EE Only | 74 | 74 | \$477.63 | \$0.00 | \$477.63 | \$500.91 | \$0.00 | \$500.91 |
| EE + Spouse | 1 | 1 | \$1,098.53 | \$155.23 | \$943.31 | \$1,042.34 | \$162.52 | \$879.82 |
| EE + Child(ren) | 0 | 0 | \$859.73 | \$95.53 | \$764.21 | \$992.54 | \$100.01 | \$892.53 |
| EE + Family | 3 | 3 | \$1,480.64 | \$250.75 | \$1,229.89 | \$1,533.98 | \$262.54 | \$1,271.44 |
| Annual Costs | 78 | 78 | \$490,621 | \$10,890 | \$479,731 | \$512,539 | \$11,402 | \$501,138 |
| PEPM Costs |  |  | \$524.17 |  |  | \$547.58 |  |  |
| Total | 78 | 78 | \$490,621 | \$10,890 | \$479,731 | \$512,539 | \$11,402 | \$501,138 |
| \$ Increase |  |  |  |  |  | \$21,919 | \$512 | \$21,407 |
| \% Increase |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5\% | 4.7\% | 4.5\% |


| EE Cost Share |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022-2023 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 0 2 4}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

## Renewals and Marketing

## Alliant Insurance Services

## Marketing Results

Memorial Villages Police Department－Plan Year：April 2023 －March 2024
Carriers Invited to Bid

| PLAN TYPE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { QUOTE } \\ & \text { STATUS } \end{aligned}$ | ＊CARRIER RATING | NOTES／COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEDICAL |  |  |  |
| Aetna Group | Declined | A | Does not submit sealed bids in the small group segment |
| BlueCross BlueShield of Texas | Quoted | A | Included in our analysis |
| CIGNA Group | Declined | A | Uncompetitive rates |
| Humana | Declined | A－ | Uncompetitive rates |
| Memorial Hermann | Declined | B＋＋ | Uncompetitive rates |
| IEBP／TML | Declined | N／A | Uncompetitive rates |
| Trustmark Insurance Company | Declined | A－ | Cannot quote based on the group size |
| United HealthCare Insurance Company | Proposed | A | Current \＆Renewal are included in our analysis |
|  |  |  |  |
| DENTAL |  |  |  |
| Aetna Group | Declined | A | Does not submit sealed bids in the small group segment |
| Ameritas Group | Declined | A | No response to the RFP or follow up |
| BlueCross BlueShield of Texas | Quoted | A | Included in our analysis |
| CIGNA Group | Declined | A | Uncompetitive rates |
| Delta Dental Insurance | Declined | A | Does not submit sealed bids in the small |
| Company | Declined |  | group segment |
| Dental Select | Quoted | A＋＋ | Not included in our detailed analysis |
| Equitable | Declined | A | No response to the RFP or follow up |
| FCL | Declined | A＋ | Uncompetitive rates |
| Guardian Life | Quoted | A＋＋ | Included in our analysis |
| Humana | Quoted | A－ | Included in our analysis |
| Lincoln Financial Group | Declined | A＋ | No response to the RFP or follow up |
| MetLife Inc | Declined | A＋ | Quote pulled，not received by the group as required |
| Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company | Declined | A＋ | Nature of industry |
| Principal Financial Group Inc | Declined | A＋ | Does not submit sealed bids in the small group segment |
| Reliance Standard Life Insurance Company | Declined | A＋＋ | Uncompetitive rates |
| Standard Insurance Group | Declined | A | Uncompetitive rates |
| Sun Life Financial Group | Quoted | A＋ | Included in our analysis |
| United Concordia Companies Inc | Quoted | A | Not included in our detailed analysis |
| Unum Group | Declined | A | Uncompetitive rates based on no DHMO offered |
| United HealthCare Insurance Company | Proposed | A | Current \＆Renewal are included in our analysis |


| VISION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aetna Group | Declined | A | Does not submit sealed bids in the small group segment |
| Ameritas Group | Declined | A | No response to the RFP or follow up |
| Avesis | Declined | A | No response to the RFP or follow up |
| BlueCross BlueShield of Texas | Quoted | A | Included in our analysis |
| CIGNA Group | Declined | A | Will not quote vision only \＆medical／dental were a DTQ |
| Dental Select | Quoted | A＋＋ | Not included in our detailed analysis |
| Equitable | Declined | A | No response to the RFP or follow up |
| EyeMed | Quoted | A＋ | Included in our analysis |
| Guardian Life | Quoted | A＋＋ | Included in our analysis |
| Humana | Quoted | A－ | Included in our analysis |
| Lincoln Financial Group | Declined | A＋ | No response to the RFP or follow up |
| MetLife Inc | Declined | A＋ | Quote pulled，not received by the group as required |
| Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company | Declined | A＋ | Nature of industry |
| Principal Financial Group Inc | Declined | A＋ | Does not submit sealed bids in the small group segment |
| Reliance Standard Life Insurance Company | Declined | A＋＋ | Uncompetitive rates |
| Standard Insurance Group | Declined | A | Uncompetitive rates |
| Sun Life Financial Group | Quoted | A＋ | Included in our analysis |
| United Concordia Companies Inc | Quoted | A | Not included in our detailed analysis |
| Unum Group | Declined | A | Uncompetitive rates with a DTQ on dental |
| Vision Service Plan | Declined | A－ | No response to the RFP or follow up |
| United HealthCare Insurance Company | Proposed | A | Current \＆Renewal are included in our analysis |

[^2]MEDICAL

## Medical Renewal Current w/ United Healthcare



## Medical Renewal - Current vs BCBS



## Ancillary Marketing

## Marketing Results－Bundle Roll Up

Memorial Villages Police Department－Plan Year：April 2023 －March 2024
Bundled Dental and Vision Comparison


DENTAL

## Dental Renewal and Alternate Options

Memorial Villages Police Department - Plan Year: April 2023 - March 2024
Dental


VISION

## Vision Renewal and Alternate Options

## Memorial Villages Police Department - Plan Year: April 2023 - March 2024 <br> \section*{Vision}
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## Alliant Insurance Services
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## Trends in Health Care

Focusing on the wellbeing of the workforce

## Situation

－Social isolation and uncertainty due to the fluid nature of the pandemic proved to be an impetus for depression，anxiety and substance use disorders
－Pandemic lockdowns caused many doctor visits and preventive screenings were delayed or missed altogether．As a result，employers anticipate seeing an increase in medical services，late－stage cancer diagnoses，greater numbers of people with long－term mental health and substance use issues and other adverse effect
－In the pandemic era，some employers experienced a negative trend while others had significant spikes．
－Poverty，physical environment（e．g．，smoke exposure，poor working conditions），and race or ethnicity－can have a considerable effect on COVID－19 outcomes

## Solution

## Focus on

 Workforce Health－Capitalize on health \＆ safety ties to employee benefits
－Proactive health risk management
－Impact Mental Health： reduce stigma \＆improve access to care
－Recognize caregiving strains on workforce productivity

－Recognize virtual care （not just acute telemedicine care）as a standard of care access and make the most of virtual adoption
－Redefine preventive care screenings \＆clinics to work for a remote workforce
－Monitor medical carrier

Routine and Preventive Care
－Garner leadership support to message importance of routine \＆preventive care to manage workforce health
－Track gaps in care and implement targeted campaigns
－Discuss USPSTF guidance changes specific to colorectal cancer screenings（lowering to age 45）

－Capitalize on the adoption of alternate care settings（e．g．， virtual）across generations to push strategic cost containment solutions， including site of care steerage，bundled payments／COE strategies， and other contracting solutions．
－Recognize that many of the recommended employer solutions to address SDOH are also recommended to address DEIB efforts
－Be aware that only $12 \%$ of U．S．adults have the health literacy skills needed to manage the demands of our complex health care system； a higher degree does not equate to good health literacy

## Pharmacy Headlines

Specialty Drugs
( 200+ New specialty drugs in the pipeline

- Up to 100 cell and gene therapies by 2025
Orphan Drugs
Explosion of new
orphan drugs and
gene therapies,
specialty drugs
exceed $50 \%$ of all
drug spend


## Weight Loss \&

 ObesityI New clinical focus area with launch of Wegovy

## Biosimilars

- Limited benefits to date

Employer

```
Contracting
| Need for
    transparency
| Rising Costs
```

```- Rebate Cliff
```

semaglutide injection 2.4 mg
I
I
I
|

## COVID-19

## | Vaccines and

 medications reducing need for inpatient care
## Mental Health

- Pandemic worsened challenges

| Chronic | PBM Industry |
| :--- | :--- |
| Conditions | Com Americans have |

Federal State re mat Mail Order, PBM MAC pricing, 340B, Price increases, Rebates


## rolifation of App ogy for

dustry
Disrupters?

- Change Agents or Maintaining the PBM Model
amazon pharmacy GoodPx


## Alliant Insurance Services

リทviv
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## Disclosures

 Final rates will be based upon final enrollment underwriting. Updated claims experience or other information may be required to finalize rates. If group demographics, enrollment levels or employer contributions change, rates may change or the quote may be withdrawn.

In general, employees must be actively at work on the effective date of the plan. When implementing new coverage, employees who are not actively at work will not be covered under the plan until they return to active state. It may be possible to waive the actively at work provision upon approval of the carrier.

 premium statement and or carrier renewal).

Coverage is not in effect until it is approved by the insurance carrier's underwriter.

This proposal is for information purposes only and does not amend, extend or alter the policy in any way. Please refer to the policy form for completed coverage and exclusion information.

All Alliant Employee Benefits produced materials are privileged and confidential and cannot be distributed without the expressed written consent of Alliant Employee Benefits.

 at: Alliant Insurance Services, Inc., Attention: General Counsel, 701 B Street, 6th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101.

 this area for many decades, utilizing a combination of quantitative and qualitative analysis of the information available in formulating their ratings.
 insurer financial strength ratings visit Standard and Poor's website at www.standardandpoors.com.

To learn more about companies doing business in your state, visit the Department of Insurance website of that state.

## NY REGULATION 194 DISCLOSURE


 The role of the producer in any particular transaction typically involves one or more of these activities.


 may affect compensation.
 compensation expected to be received based in whole or in part on any alternative quotes presented to the purchaser by the producer, by requesting such information from the producer.

## Alliant

## Thank you

TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
VIA: H. Miller, Interim Fire Chief
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Discuss and take possible action on the Village Fire Department Monthly Report.

## Agenda Item: 3

This agenda item is for VFD monthly reporting, to hear and discuss activity of the Department including detail on call volume and other public safety related incidents. The monthly Village Fire Strategic Plan Performance Report and supplemental information will be provided at the meeting.

## TO: Members of the City Council

FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on approving a Joint Participation Interlocal Agreement with Harris County to construct improvements to traffic signals in and around Memorial Drive at Blalock Road, Memorial Drive at Piney Point Road and Memorial Drive at San Felipe Drive.

## Agenda Item: 4

This is a joint participation between the City and Harris County to improve traffic signals at three intersections (1) Memorial Drive / Blalock Road, (2) Memorial Drive / Piney Point Road and (3) Memorial Drive / San Felipe Drive. The County will provide engineering services, advertise / receive bids for construction, and obtain the service outlet location from CenterPoint. The County will manage the project and invoice the City for our cost share of the Project. This agreement does not obligate us to any additional infrastructure outside of the traffic signals.

Agreement is attached along with an exhibit illustrating the location of the phased signal improvements. We intend to use ornamental signal masts using allocated city resources.

This Joint Participation Interlocal Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into by and between Harris County ("County") and the City of Piney Point Village ("City") pursuant to the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Tex. Gov’t Code Ch. 791.001, et seq. County and City may each be referred to herein individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties".

## RECITALS

WHEREAS, it is of mutual benefit to both Parties to construct improvements to traffic signals in and around Memorial Drive at Blalock Road, Memorial Drive at Piney Point Road and Memorial Drive at San Felipe Drive ("Project") as generally illustrated on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference;

WHEREAS, both Parties desire to cooperate in accordance with the terms of this Agreement to jointly accomplish the construction of the Project; and

WHEREAS, both Parties agree that all funds used under this Agreement shall be from current fiscal funds.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises, obligations, and benefits herein set forth, the Parties agree as follows:

## TERMS

## Section 1. Responsibilities of the Parties

A. County's Responsibilities
(i) The County will provide or cause to be provided, engineering services and related support services necessary to prepare plans, specifications, and estimates ("PS\&E") for the construction of the Project.
(ii) The County shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and jurisdictional approvals for construction of the Project.
(iii) Upon completion of the PS\&E the County will submit the PS\&E to the City for review and approval.
(iv) The County will place this segment of Memorial Drive on the County road log temporarily for design and construction purpose. Upon completion of the project the County will remove this segment of Memorial Drive from the County road log.
(v) The County will obtain the Service Outlet Location from CenterPoint and provide it to City of Piney Point to establish the power service.
(vi) Upon approval by the City of the PS\&E, the County will advertise for and receive bids for construction of the Project, in a manner similar to that of other County projects.
(vii) Upon receipt of bids for the construction of the Project the County shall:
(a) Determine the lowest and best bidder and provide the bids to the City with its recommendation for award of the construction contract to such lowest and best bidder, as determined by the Harris County Commissioners Court; and
(b) Provide an invoice to the City for their cost share percentage for construction of the Project in accordance with Section 2 of this Agreement.
(viii) Upon award of a contract for construction of the Project, the County will:
(a) Manage and inspect the construction of the Project in a manner similar to that of other County construction projects; and
(b) Through its contractor, construct the Project in accordance with the PS\&E approved by the City. The County may make minor changes in the PS\&E through change(s) in contract ("CIC") that the County deems to be necessary or desirable during the construction of the Project, so long as the original scope and intent of the Project is maintained.
(ix) Upon completion of the construction of the Project, the County shall:
(a) Provide an opportunity for the City to participate in a final walk-through and preparation of a punch list in regards to the construction of the Project; and
(b) Provide a statement of final accounting to the City detailing all construction costs incurred and identify amount(s) to be invoiced or refunded to the City; and
(c) When the City has accepted the Project and punch list items are complete, then the contractor's warranty will be transferred to the City.

## B. City's Responsibilities

(i) City will review the PS\&E provided by the County and provide its approval within ten (10) business days. Should the City desire to make changes to such PS\&E, the

Parties agree to meet and resolve all issues within ten (10) business days of the City's receipt of the PS\&E in order to finalize an agreed upon PS\&E for the Project. If the City does not provide a response on the PS\&E provided by the County within ten (10) business days from its receipt of the PS\&E, then the PS\&E submitted to the City by the County will be deemed approved.
(ii) Upon receipt of the bids and award recommendation from the County for construction of the Project, the City will:
(a) Review the bids and provide concurrence for award of the construction contract to such lowest and best bidder within five (5) business days from receipt of the recommendation from the County. If the City does not provide a response on the construction contract award recommendation within five (5) business days from its receipt of the recommendation from the County, then the recommendation submitted to the City will be deemed approved; and
(b) Remit payment to the County for the City Funding Share for construction of the Project in accordance with Section 2 of this agreement.
(iii) The City will be responsible for ensuring that power source for the traffic signals are transferred under the City's name.
(iv) Upon completion of the Project, the City shall assume full responsibility for the ongoing maintenance and repairs of the Project.

## Section 2. Funding of the Project

Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement to the contrary, the following provisions will apply to all payments made under this Agreement:
A. The County agrees to provide up to $\$ 500,000.00$ of the cost necessary for each individual traffic signal (up to 3 traffic signals) of the Project. The City will fund all remaining costs associated with each traffic signal after the $\$ 500,000.00$ amount from the County has been exceeded. ("City Funding Share") as generally illustrated on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
B. The City agrees to provide payment of the City Funding Share to the County within fourteen (14) business days of receipt of the invoice for the Project.
C. Parties agree that any construction costs incurred during the construction of the project or other work to be performed under this Agreement in excess of the construction contract award amount may be funded by the City.

## Section 3. Term and Termination

A. This Agreement shall commence upon final execution by all the Parties (the "Effective Date") and shall remain in full force and effect until the completion of construction of the Project or the County's receipt of all payments due from the City under this Agreement, whichever occurs later ("Term").
B. This Agreement may be terminated by the County before award of the construction contract and at any time by mutual written consent of the Parties, or as otherwise provided under this Agreement.

## Section 4. Limitation of Appropriation

A. City understands and agrees, said understanding and agreement also being of the absolute essence of this Agreement, that the County is not currently appropriating any funds for the Project. County may appropriate funds to complete the Project, but such funds shall not under any conditions, circumstances, or interpretations thereof exceed the sum certified available by the Harris County Auditor.
B. City understands and agrees, said understanding and agreement also being of the absolute essence of this Agreement, that failure of the Harris County Auditor to certify funds or to certify sufficient funding for any reason shall not be considered a breach of this Agreement.

## Section 5. Miscellaneous

A. Non-Assignability. The County and the City bind themselves and their successors, executors, administrators, and assigns to the other Party of this Agreement and to the successors, executors, administrators, and assigns of such other Party, in respect to all covenants of this Agreement. Neither the County nor the City shall assign, sublet, or transfer its interest in this Agreement without the prior written consent of the other Party
B. Notice. Any notice required to be given under this Agreement ("Notice") shall be in writing and shall be duly served when it shall have been (a) personally delivered to the address below, (b) deposited, enclosed in a wrapper with the proper postage prepaid thereon, and duly registered or certified, return receipt requested, in a United States Post Office, addressed to County or the City at the following addresses:

| City: | Robert Pennington, City Administrator <br> City Of Piney Point Village |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 7676 Woodway Dr Suite 300 |
|  | Houston, Tx 77063 <br> cityadmin@pineypt.org |
| Additional Contact: | Jose J. Gomez (jgomez@pineypt.org) |

County: Harris County Engineering Department 1111 Fannin Street, $11^{\text {th }}$ Floor<br>Houston, Texas 77002<br>Attention: Interagency Agreement Coordinator

Any Notice given by mail hereunder is deemed given upon deposit in the United States Mail and any Notice delivered in person shall be effective upon receipt.

Each Party shall have the right to change its respective address by giving at least fifteen (15) days' written notice of such change to the other Party.

Other communications, except for Notices required under this Agreement, may be sent by electronic means or in the same manner as Notices described herein.
C. Independent Parties. It is expressly understood and agreed by the Parties that nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed to constitute or create a joint venture, partnership, association or other affiliation or like relationship between the Parties, it being specifically agreed that their relationship is and shall remain that of independent parties to a contractual relationship as set forth in this Agreement. The County is an independent contractor and neither it, nor its employees or agents shall be considered to be an employee, agent, partner, or representative of the City for any purpose. The City, nor its employees, officers, or agents shall be considered to be employees, agents, partners or representatives of the County for any purposes. Neither Party has the authority to bind the other Party.
D. No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement shall be for the sole and exclusive benefit of the Parties and their legal successors and assigns. The County is not obligated or liable to any party other than the City for the performance of this Agreement. Nothing in the Agreement is intended or shall be deemed or construed to create any additional rights or remedies upon any third party. Further, nothing contained in the Agreement shall be construed to or operate in any manner whatsoever to confer or create rights or remedies upon any third party, increase the rights or remedies of any third party, or the duties or responsibilities of County with respect to any third party.
E. Waiver of Breach. No waiver or waivers of any breach or default (or any breaches or defaults) by either Party hereto of any term, covenant, condition, or liability hereunder, or the performance by either Party of any obligation hereunder, shall be deemed or construed to be a waiver of subsequent breaches or defaults of any kind, under and circumstances.

## F. No Personal Liability; No Waiver of Immunity.

(1) Nothing in the Agreement is construed as creating any personal liability on the part of any officer, director, employee, or agent of any public body that may be a Party to the Agreement, and the Parties expressly agree that the execution of the Agreement does not create any personal liability on the part of any officer, director, employee, or agent of the County.
(2) The Parties agree that no provision of this Agreement extends the County's liability beyond the liability provided in the Texas Constitution and the laws of the State of Texas.
(3) Neither the execution of this Agreement nor any other conduct of either Party relating to this Agreement shall be considered a waiver by the County of any right, defense, or immunity on behalf of itself, its employees or agents under the Texas Constitution or the laws of the State of Texas.
G. Applicable Law and Venue. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Texas and the forum for any action under or related to the Agreement is exclusively in a state or federal court of competent jurisdiction in Texas. The exclusive venue for any action under or related to the Agreement is in a state or federal court of competent jurisdiction in Houston, Harris County, Texas.
H. No Binding Arbitration; Right to Jury Trial. The County does not agree to binding arbitration, nor does the County waive its right to a jury trial.

## I. Contract Construction.

(1) This Agreement shall not be construed against or in favor of any Party hereto based upon the fact that the Party did or did not author this Agreement.
(2) The headings in this Agreement are for convenience or reference only and shall not control or affect the meaning or construction of this Agreement.
(3) When terms are used in the singular or plural, the meaning shall apply to both.
(4) When either the male or female gender is used, the meaning shall apply to both.
J. Recitals. The recitals set forth in this Agreement are, by this reference, incorporated into and deemed a part of this Agreement.
K. Entire Agreement; Modifications. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the rights herein granted and the obligations herein assumed. This Agreement supersedes and replaces any prior agreement between the Parties pertaining to the rights granted and the obligations assumed herein. This Agreement shall be subject to change or modification only by a subsequent written modification approved and signed by the governing bodies of each Party.
L. Severability. The provisions of this Agreement are severable, and if any provision or part of this Agreement or the application thereof to any person, entity, or circumstance shall ever be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unconstitutional for any reason, the remainder of this Agreement and the application of such provision or part of this Agreement to other persons, entities, or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.
M. Survival of Terms. Any provision of this Agreement that, by its plain meaning, is intended to survive the expiration or earlier termination of this Agreement shall survive such expiration or earlier termination. If an ambiguity exists as to survival, the provision shall be deemed to survive.
N. Multiple Counterparts/Execution. This Agreement may be executed in several counterparts. Each counterpart is deemed an original and all counterparts together constitute one and the same instrument. In addition, each Party warrants that the undersigned is a duly authorized representative with the power to execute the Agreement.
O. Warranty. By execution of this Agreement, the City warrants that the duties accorded to the City in this Agreement are within the powers and authority of the City.

## HARRIS COUNTY

By:
Lina Hidalgo
County Judge

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE
County Attorney

## CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE

By:<br>Mark Kobelan,<br>Mayor of City of Piney Village

ATTEST

By: $\qquad$
Secretary

By:

## ORDER OF COMMISSIONERS COURT

The Commissioners Court of Harris County, Texas, met in regular session at its regular term at the Harris County Administration Building in the County of Houston, Texas, on
$\qquad$ , with all members present except
$\qquad$
A quorum was present. Among other business, the following was transacted:

## ORDER AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A JOINT PARTICIPATION INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN HARRIS COUNTY AND THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE TO CONSTRUCT IMPROVEMENTS TO TRAFFIC SIGNALS IN AND AROUND MEMORIAL DRIVE AT BLALOCK ROAD, MEMORIAL DRIVE AT PINEY POINT ROAD AND MEMORIAL DRIVE AT SAN FELIPE DRIVE AND ALL RELATED APPURTENANCES IN HARRIS COUNTY PRECINCT 3

Commissioner $\qquad$ introduced an order and moved that Commissioners Court adopt the order. Commissioner $\qquad$ seconded the motion for adoption of the order. The motion, carrying with it the adoption of the order, prevailed by the following vote:

|  | Yes | No | Abstain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Judge Lina Hidalgo | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Comm. Rodney Ellis | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Comm. Adrian Garcia | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Comm. Tom S. Ramsey, P.E. $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| Comm. Lesley Briones | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

The County Judge thereupon announced that the motion had duly and lawfully carried and that the order had been duly and lawfully adopted. The order thus adopted follows:

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Harris County Judge is authorized to execute on behalf of Harris County the attached Joint Participation Interlocal Agreement between Harris County and the City of Piney Point Village to construct improvements to traffic signals in and around Memorial Drive at Blalock Road, Memorial Drive at Piney Point Road and Memorial Drive at San Felipe Drive and all related appurtenances in Harris County Precinct 3.
2. All Harris County officials and employees are authorized to do any and all things necessary or convenient to accomplish the purposes of this order.








TO: The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on the City Investment Policy.

## Agenda Item: 5

Discuss and consider possible action on the Investment Policy.
The purpose of this investment policy is to comply with Chapter 2256 of the Local Government Code ("Public Funds Investment Act"), which requires each city to adopt a written investment policy regarding the investment of its funds and funds under its control.

Staff recommends readopting the current policy.

## CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS

## PUBLIC FUNDS MANAGEMENT \& INVESTMENT POLICY

## PURPOSE

This Policy defines the parameters for authorized and approved investment and related management of all public funds of the City of Piney Point Village ("PPV"). The intent is not to affect each investment decision or transaction by policy, but rather to establish guidelines of acceptability and prudence under which such activity can be efficiently implemented by authorized personnel. Notwithstanding any contrary provision set forth therein, the Public Funds Investment Act of Texas "(PFIA") shall apply to all matters addressed by this Policy.

Approved investment instruments, strategies, and securities dealer counter parties will be outlined, and authorization to effect transactions on behalf of the City of Piney Point Village will be delineated. This Policy is intended to supplement general financial operations carried out under the auspices of any investment contract or agreement, and any such contract or agreement must accommodate implementation of and compliance with this Policy. This Policy applies to all investment activities of PPV.

## INVESTMENT COMMITTEE

The City Council hereby creates an Investment Committee composed of two Aldermen to be appointed by the mayor and confirmed by the City Council and the current City Administrator who serves as the City Treasurer. These individuals shall be qualified to serve as investment officers under the PFIA. Such Committee shall be authorized to act under this policy in the capacity of the Investment Committee for PPV. The Investment Committee Chairman shall be the current City Administrator pursuant to this policy and the PFIA. The Committee shall meet upon the call of the mayor or any two (2) of its members. A majority of the Committee shall constitute a quorum; all decisions of the Committee regarding the investment of any of the City's funds shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of the Committee's members.

On or before the thirtieth (30th) day following the expiration of each calendar quarter, the Investment Committee shall make a detailed report, in writing, to the City Council reflecting the status (invested or un-invested) of all funds of the City as of the last day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter. With respect to invested funds, such report shall reflect, but shall not necessarily be limited to, the date, amount, and specific character of each investment, the scheduled maturity, if any, thereof, the guaranteed or anticipated annualized rate of return thereon, and such additional information as the City Council may direct or as may be required under the "Reporting" provisions of this Policy and in compliance with the PFIA and Include:
I. a listing of individual securities held at the end of the reporting period.
II. average weighted yield to maturity of the portfolio of city investments as compared to applicable benchmarks.
III. listing of investments by maturity date and by type of asset
IV. percentage of the total portfolio by type of asset.

The City Council shall be the final arbiter of all decisions regarding the investment of City funds. It shall have the authority (I) to overrule or override any decision of the Investment Committee relating to the investment or noninvestment of funds; (2) to determine what funds, by category and amount, shall or shall not be invested; and (3) with respect to invested or to be invested funds, to direct the amount, time, mode, and type of their investment in any manner permitted by law and this Policy.

## OBJECTIVE

Public funds management should primarily emphasize safety of principal and liquidity, while seeking to maximize income derived from the investment of all available funds. This may be accomplished under the auspices of this Policy, the investment contract or agreement, or any combined utilization of the two. General, idle, or un-invested funds should be held to minimum amounts necessary to meet immediate operating disbursement requirements.

## AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS

Funds may be invested only as authorized under the current PFIA or any subsequent enabling legislation. Securities approved for purchase, sale and investment by the Policy include:
I. obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies;
II. direct obligations of this state or its agencies and instrumentalities;
III. other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by, or backed by the full faith and credit of, this state of the United States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities;
IV. a certificate of deposit or share certificate if the certificate satisfied the requirements of §2256.0 IO of the PFIA;
V. a fully collateralized repurchase agreement if the repurchase agreement satisfies the requirements of $\S 2256.010$ of the PFIA;
VI. a bankers' acceptance if the bankers' acceptance satisfies the requirements of $\S 2256.012$ of the PFIA;
VII. a commercial paper if the commercial paper satisfies the requirements of $\S 2256.013$ of the PFIA;
VIII. a non-load money market mutual fund if the mutual fund satisfies the requirements of §2256.014 of the PFIA;
IX. investments made through an eligible investment pool if the City Council by resolution authorized investment in the pool and the particular pool satisfies the requirements §2256.016 of the PFIA;
X. invest public funds of the City with:
a. Amegy Investments, Inc.
b. Amegy Bank
c. TexPool
d. Texas Class
e. Robert W. Baird \& Co.

## REPORTING

The Investment Committee is charged with maintaining thorough and timely documentation of all investments purchased, sold, and held to satisfy accounting, audit, regulatory, custody, and other requirements as stipulated by applicable regulations and general internal policy. The Investment Committee shall provide quarterly reports to the City Council and such reports shall comply with the PFIA.

## CUSTODY

All investments must be held by the depository bank or other designated third-party custodian. All investment transactions must be affected on a "delivery versus payment" and "receipt versus payment" basis at the designated custodian. Under no circumstances may funds or securities be released to any counterparty without approved third-party control and assurance of performance. All transactions must be evidenced by counter-party confirmation and custody receipts.

## MARKET RISK

Investments must be made in eligible securities with a maturity date occurring on or before the date the funds invested are required to be available. Acknowledging that future availability dates cannot always be prognosticated with total certainty, any security purchased must have sufficient liquidity characteristics to assure that sale prior to stated maturity would result in no material loss of principal or interest. Under no circumstances may public funds be invested in securities whose maturity or other characteristics are such that acquisition thereof would entail any speculation as to future market value for liquidation. Weighted Average Maturity for a Pooled Fund Group: The weighted average maturity will be 365 days or less. This dollar-weighted average maturity will be calculated using the stated final maturity dates of each security.

## STANDARD OF CARE

Investments shall be made with the exercise of that degree of judgment and care, under circumstances then prevailing, which persons of prudence, discretion, and intelligence exercise in the management of their own affairs, not for speculation but for investment, considering the probable safety of their capital as well as the probable income to be derived.

## AUTHORIZED FIRMS

Securities transactions may be affected only with registered securities firms explicitly approved by name by City Council.

## AMENDMENTS

This Policy may be amended from time to time as conditions or prevailing law may require. This Policy shall be reviewed and approved by the City Council of PPV on an annual basis.

- Reviewed: January 24, 2022; February 27, 2023.

TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27A designating the City's Official Newspaper.

## Agenda Item: 6

Discuss and consider possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27A; designating the City's Official Newspaper.

State law requires that at the beginning of the fiscal year the City Council of a "Type A" city designate its official newspaper by resolution or ordinance and contract with that paper to publish required notices.

# RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS DESIGNATING THE MEMORIAL EXAMINER AS THE OFFICIAL NEWSPAPER FOR THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS. 

WHEREAS, Chapter 52, Section 52.004, Texas Local Government Code requires that as soon as practical after the beginning of each municipal year, the governing body of the municipality shall designate, by ordinance or resolution, a public newspaper to be the municipality's official newspaper until another newspaper is selected; and

WHEREAS, a public newspaper serving the City of Piney Point Village, Texas and the local area that is widely read is the MEMORIAL EXAMINER; and

WHEREAS, the MEMORIAL EXAMINER meets all of the requirements for service as the official newspaper of the City of Piney Point Village;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS THAT:

The City Council of the City of Piney Point Village hereby resolves that the MEMORIAL EXAMINER is the "Official Newspaper" of the City of Piney Point Village, Texas.

PASSED AND APPROVED this $27^{\text {th }}$ day of February 2023.

City of Piney Point Village, Texas

Mark Kobelan, Mayor

## ATTEST

[^3]TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27B approving certain persons to be authorized to act as signatories on city accounts at Amegy Bank.

## Agenda Item: 7

Discuss and consider possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02 .27B approving certain persons to be authorized to act as signatories on city accounts at Amegy Bank.

This request to be in accordance with the recent changes in staff.

RESOLUTION NO. 2023.02.27B

## A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS, APPROVING CERTAIN PERSONS TO BE AUTHORIZED TO ACT AS SIGNATORIES ON CITY ACCOUNTS AT AMEGY BANK, THE CITY'S BANKING DEPOSITORY.

WHEREAS, the official depository for City funds is Amegy Bank; and
WHEREAS, pursuant to its depository contract with Amegy Bank, the governing body of the City shall designate persons authorized to act as signatories for checks or other instruments that provide for withdrawals from the various accounts of the City at such bank; now therefore;

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS:

Section 1. That Mayor Mark Kobelan, Councilmember Michael Herminghaus, Council Member Conrad H. Kollenberg, Jr., City Administrator Robert Pennington, Finance Director Michelle Yi are hereby authorized to act as signatories on the various accounts of the City, in accordance with the terms and conditions of the depository contract.

Section 2. The City Secretary is hereby authorized and directed to cause a true and correct copy of this Resolution to be served upon Amegy Bank

PASSED, APPROVED, and RESOLVED thi $\qquad$ 27 day of $\qquad$ February , 2023.

TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27C approving certain persons to be authorized to act as signatories on investment accounts at Texas Class.

## Agenda Item: 8

Discuss and consider possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02 .27C approving certain persons to be authorized to act as signatories on city accounts at Texas Class.

This request to be in accordance with the recent changes in staff.

## A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS, APPROVING CERTAIN PERSONS TO BE AUTHORIZED TO ACT AS SIGNATORIES ON CITY ACCOUNTS AT TEXAS CLASS, A LOCAL GOVERNMENT INVESTMENT POOL.

Cens, the City of Piney Point Village is a local government of the State of Texas and is empowered to delegate to a public funds investment pool the authority to invest funds and to act as custodian of investments purchased with local investment funds; and

WHEREAS, Texas Class is a public funds investment pool, created on behalf of entities whose investment objective is the preservation and safety of principal, liquidity, and yield consistent with the Public Funds Investment Act.

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS:

Section 1. That Mayor Mark Kobelan, City Administrator Robert Pennington, and Finance Director Michelle Yi are hereby authorized to act as signatories on the Texas Class public funds investment pool, in accordance with the terms and conditions of the agreement with same.

Section 2. The City Secretary is hereby authorized and directed to cause a true and correct copy of this Resolution to be served upon Texas Class.

PASSED, APPROVED, and RESOLVED this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2023.

ATTEST

Robert Pennington, City Administrator/City Secretary

TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27D approving certain persons to be authorized to act as signatories on investment accounts at TexPool.

## Agenda Item: 9

Discuss and consider possible action on Resolution No. 2023.02.27D approving certain persons to be authorized to act as signatories on city accounts at TexPool.

This request to be in accordance with the recent changes in staff.

# A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS, APPROVING CERTAIN PERSONS TO BE AUTHORIZED TO ACT AS SIGNATORIES ON CITY ACCOUNTS AT TEXPOOL, A LOCAL GOVERNMENT INVESTMENT POOL. 

WHEREAS, the City of Piney Point Village is a local government of the State of Texas and is empowered to delegate to a public funds investment pool the authority to invest funds and to act as custodian of investments purchased with local investment funds; and

WHEREAS, TexPool is a public funds investment pool, created on behalf of entities whose investment objective is the preservation and safety of principal, liquidity, and yield consistent with the Public Funds Investment Act.

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, TEXAS:

Section 1. That Mayor Mark Kobelan, City Administrator Robert Pennington, and Finance Director Michelle Yi are hereby authorized to act as signatories on the TexPool public funds investment pool, in accordance with the terms and conditions of the agreement with same.

Section 2. The City Secretary is hereby authorized and directed to cause a true and correct copy of this Resolution to be served upon TexPool.

PASSED, APPROVED, and RESOLVED this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2023.

ATTEST

Robert Pennington, City Administrator/City Secretary

TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
VIA: Jose Gomez, Public Works

MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on the approval of Fiberoptic Cable Improvement Plans on various streets in the City.

## Agenda Item: 10

Comcast will be installing $4,719 \mathrm{ft}$ of new fiber optic that will be a combination of both (underground 1059ft) and (overhead 3660 ft ) in various locations. A combination of boring and hand digging will be done when doing any type of underground work.

AT\&T will be installing $6,000 \mathrm{ft}$ of new fiber optic that will be a combination of both (underground) and (overhead) in various locations. Proposed cables will be attached to existing areal cables in right of way and rear easements.

Please see attached maps.
General Notes

2) All buried fiber cable have minimum cover of $48^{\prime \prime}$ and when crossing
all City utilities there will be minimum $24^{\prime \prime}$ separation above or
below the facilities.
3) The Contractor shell notify the City of Piney Point Village a minimum of 48 hours prior to the start of the construction.
4) Any areas of grass within the Cities Right of Way which are disturbed or dug up during construction shall be replaced with
St. Augustine of grass which matches the sod remover.
5) Any damage to existing roads, driveway, sidewalks of other appurtenances within the City's right of way shall be saw cut out, removed and replaced with material equal to or superior to existing material, and be installed in a manner acceptable to the City of Piney Point Village.

[^4]7) Construction Contractor will remove and replace any section of storm sewer in the right of way this damaged during construction with material that is equal to of superior to the material.
8) Please note that all proposed cable highlighter in Blue is buried Cable and all highlighter in Orange is proposed aerial Cable.
Saturday.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { City Forester Notes } \\
& \text { 1) See Google Map } \\
& \text { highlighting there areas }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Traffic Control Plan: See the attached Stranded TCP } \\
& \text { recommend and used by TxDot. }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
京
```




TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Mayor Mark Kobelan
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Discuss and take possible action on the Mayor's Monthly Report.

## Agenda Item: 11

This agenda item includes reports from the mayor.

## TO: Mayor and Members of the City Council

FROM: Bobby Pennington; City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Discuss and take possible action on the City Administrator's Monthly Report, including, but not limited to selected items.

## Agenda Item: 12

The City Administrator will provide information for Council and the community that contains updates on important city initiatives that are not generally included on a city council agenda for action. These updates represent important city activities that should be of interest to local elected leaders, community leaders, and residents. However, some items listed may call for Council approval and/or delegate authorization under the direction of Council. Note the following items:
A. Financial Report: This report represents a general overview of financial activity through January 2023, which is the 1st month of fiscal year 2023. Attached is the latest report. Staff recommends approving the report as presented.
B. Blalock Tree Plantings: The Beautification Committee is recommending tree plantings along Blalock. Attached are quotes for this project. We are recommending the purchase of 30 Cedar Elm trees, 15 Gallon which includes installation and hardwood mulch. This project is directed with the assistance of Jose Gomez and Cary Moran.
C. Partial Building Permit Reimbursement Request - 11502 Shadow Way: The recent residential construction at 11502 Shadow Way will not be finalized as presented in the original permit application. The structure is now in the process of dismantlement due to deed restriction encroachment. The builder, Build 48, is requesting full permit refund from the city. The total permit fee and inspection is $\$ 12,242.30$. However, the city did incur cost, mainly $\$ 2,169.07$ in drainage reviews. If council is agreeable to a refund, staff recommends withholding the drainage review cost then $15 \%$ for the ancillary work related to the permit; a recommended reimbursement of $\$ 8,562.25$.
D. Reimbursement Request - 3 Hacienda Lane: The builder, 800 Builders/Metropolitan Builder, paid by check $(\$ 25,000)$ for the temporary certificate of occupancy. Temporary extension deadline was $09 / 09 / 2022$. HDR approved the final "as built" on $01 / 04 / 2023$. The request is brought to Council due to exceeding the allowable time of extensions per
ordinance. Builder is requesting full refund. All obligations and requirements were met as of $02 / 03 / 2023$.
E. Update on Specific Use Permit Projects: The purpose of this listing is to share any current information or progress on these major construction programs.

- Memorial Drive Elementary School Update.
- St. Francis Episcopal Church Specific Use Permit Update.
- The Kinkaid School Specific Use Permit Update.


# CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE FINANCIAL REPORT 

## JANUARY 2023 FINANCIALS (PRELIMINARY)

This report represents a general overview of the city's financial operations through January 2023, which is the first month of the fiscal year 2023. Beginning balances are unaudited and subject to change until the city completes the annual 2022 audit. A presentation of this audit is scheduled for March/April 2023. Budgeted numbers represent the original adopted for the fiscal year.

## General Fund

|  | Prior YTD | Budget | Month | YTD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenues | $\$ 3,802,091$ | $\$ 8,318,980$ | $\$ 5,606,619$ | $\$ 5,606,619$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 771,204$ | $\$ 9,977,983$ | $\$ 843,075$ | $\$ 843,075$ |
| Over/(Under) | $\$ 3,030,887$ | $(\$ 1,659,003)$ | $\$ 4,763,544$ | $\$ 4,763,545$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Revenues | $\$ 3,799,154$ | $\$ 8,317,980$ | $\$ 5,606,619$ | $\$ 5,606,619$ |
| Operating Expenditures | $\$ 758,635$ | $\$ 6,868,807$ | $\$ 843,075$ | $\$ 843,075$ |
| Over/(Under) | $\$ 3,040,519$ | $\$ 1,449,173$ | $\$ 4,763,544$ | $\$ 4,763,545$ |

1. Total revenues are $\$ 5,606,619$ or $67.4 \%$ of budget and $47.5 \%$ above last YTD, mainly due to the timing of property tax collection.
a. Property tax received to date for the $M \& O$ or the general fund portion totals $\$ 5,379,759$ or $83.1 \%$ of budget. The current YTD amount collected represents $96 \%$ of the total general fund revenue. Property Tax is $\$ 1,668,022$ more than last YTD due to the timing and tax posting of this report. The city anticipates collecting an additional \$1,095,330 for maintenance and operating. The adopted rate is $\$ 0.255140$ with $\$ 0.224025$ designated as M\&O and the remaining $\$ 0.031115$ as the I\&S requirement to finance the annual bond debt. Payments of property taxes are due by January 31, 2023, and is delinquent as of February 1, 2023. The City currently contracts with Spring Branch ISD as the tax assessor collector. The budget incorporates a $99 \%$ collection rate on the total taxable value. Please review monthly tax office report for additional details and adjustments to current taxable values.
b. Sales Tax collection for January total $\$ 47,825$ or $12.50 \%$ of the total annual $\$ 382,000$ projection. January represents the first month of collection with an improvement of $\$ 16,782$ or $35.1 \%$ over January 2022 collection of $\$ 31,043$. This year's actual collection exceeds budgeted expectations by $\$ 14,288$, representing a $42.6 \%$ improvement. Reporting beyond the January report, actual sales tax collections through February continue to improve to $\$ 115,813$ (cumulative) or $\$ 38,902$ greater than last year. The budget projection on sales collection represents $4.59 \%$ of all general fund revenue. The following chart provides details:

|  | (\$) <br> Last <br> Fiscal | (\$) <br> Budgeted <br> Projection | (\$) Current Fiscal | (\$) <br> Actual <br> Variance | (\%) <br> Actual <br> Variance | (\$) <br> Budget <br> Variance | (\%) <br> Budget <br> Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | \$31,043 | \$33,537 | \$47,825 | \$16,782 | 35.1\% | \$14,288 | 42.6\% |
| February | \$45,868 | \$44,395 | \$67,988 | \$22,120 | 32.5\% | \$23,593 | 53.1\% |
| YTD | \$76,911 | \$77,932 | \$115,813 | \$38,902 | 50.6\% | \$37,881 | 48.60\% |

c. Franchise tax collections are minimal due to the timing of collections. Only collections booked at this time is $\$ 22,702$ from Electric Franchise (CenterPoint) and $\$ 29$ from wireless. The city anticipates collecting over $\$ 430$ thousand in franchise tax.
d. Court revenue is $\$ 11,499,13.0 \%$ of budget and $\$ 5,099$ more than last year. Court fines total $\$ 10,291$ and the remaining $\$ 1,208$ is primarily restricted for special use such as court security or technology. The court operates both in-person and virtual by zoom. The city collected $\$ 111$ thousand in court revenue for 2022. Current trends suggest higher collections for 2023.
e. License an permits total $\$ 43,743$, projection on target at $8.1 \%$. Permits and inspection fees alone account for $84.5 \%$ of the category revenue. Plat reviews are currently at \$1,750.
f. Alarm registrations are $\$ 18,300,79.6 \%$ of annual budget projection. This represents a $\$ 5,850$ improvement over last YTD. Annual alarm registrations prove to be beneficial in maintaining up-to-date emergency information for individual properties.
g. Interest revenue is $\$ 18,300,28.8 \%$ of budget and at a significant increase of $\$ 17,152$ more than last year.
2. The city allocated $\$ 6,868,807$ as operating with an additional $\$ 3,109,176$ as capital programing. Total expenditures are $\$ 843,075,8.4 \%$ of budget and $9.3 \%$ more than last YTD. Operating expenditures are $\$ 843,075$, at $12.3 \%$ of budget, and $11.1 \%$ more than last YTD.
3. Divisions and categories that are currently trending higher in expenditures are as follows:
a. Police Services at $\$ 525,389$ or $21.8 \%$ due to the practice of providing two months of service payments at the beginning of the year as agreed for adequate MVPD operational cash flow.
b. Fire Services at $\$ 228,109$ or $12.5 \%$. representing 1.5 months of service payments at the beginning of the year for adequate VFD operational cash flow.
c. Other Public Services at $\$ 1,281$ and $\$ 1,221$ lower than last year directly due to the renegotiated price on kilowatt hour for streetlight power services.
d. Contract Services at $\$ 881$ and $\$ 45,027$ lower than last year due to timing of invoices.
4. Capital expenditures remain unposted for January due to the timing of this report. Following capital projects are scheduled for the current year.
a. 96 " Stormwater Replacement CIP - This project is under design phrase.
b. Tokeneke - Country Squire CIP - This project is under construction in progress and projected to completed during the year.
c. Other projects include Wiliamsburg, Bothwell Way, Windermere Outfall Project, Smithdale Landscape/Sidewalk, Greenbay Beautification, and traffic signals.
5. The budget adopted a use of $\$ 1,659,003$ in reserved cash and is supporting a portion of the $\$ 3,109,176$ in capital programs. In 2021, the audited ending balance for the general fund was reported at $\$ 3,702,509$. In 2022, the preliminary/unaudited revenue over expenditures approximately $\$ 700 \mathrm{~K}$, increasing fund balance to nearly $\$ 4.4$ million. Note that fund balance remains unaudited, and a portion is restricted for specific uses, such as ARPA programming.

## Debt Service Fund

|  | Prior YTD | Budget | Month | YTD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenues | $\$ 882,063$ | $\$ 909,330$ | $\$ 761,684$ | $\$ 761,684$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 825,375$ | $\$ 877,950$ | $\$ 839,075$ | $\$ 839,075$ |
| Over/(Under) | $\$ 56,688$ | $\$ 31,380$ | $(\$ 77,391)$ | $(\$ 77,391)$ |

6. Revenues are $\$ 761,684 ; 83.8 \%$ of budget with $\$ 745,327$ from property tax collections. The adopted rate designated for interest and sinking is $\$ 0.031115$ as the requirement to finance the annual bond debt. The budget incorporates a $99 \%$ collection rate based on trends from past collection years.
7. Expenditures are $\$ 839,075,95.6 \%$ of budget, as debt service principal payment was payments are semiannual.
a. Two Principal payments were disbursed, $\$ 410,000$ for GO Series 2015 and $\$ 380,000$ for GO Series 2017.
b. In addition, payments of interest due includes $\$ 13,675$ for GO Series 2015 and $\$ 34,650$ for GO Series 2017. The city is obligated to pay the remaining $\$ 36,625$ in interest by August. Current debt obligations are scheduled through 2027.
8. Although revenues are under expenditures by $\$ 77,391$, debt service restricts a portion of cash to support fund balance. The budget projects an end the year reserve estimated at $\$ 31 \mathrm{~K}$ with a for the debt service fund.

FOR MORE INFORMATION: This summary report is based on detailed information generated by the City's Administration. If you have any questions or would like additional information on this report, please contact city administration at 713-230-8703.

## Piney Point Village

Statement of Revenue \& Expenditures For Month Ended: January 31, 2023

GENERAL FUND SUMMARY

REVENUES
PROPERTY TAXES
SALES TAXES
FRANCHISE TAXES
COURT REVENUE
PERMITS \& INSPECTIONS
ALARM REGISTRATIONS
GOVERMENTAL CONT. (METRO)
PILOT FEES (KINKAID) INTEREST

TOTAL OPERATING

OTHER NON-OPERATING PROCEEDS TOTAL NON-OPERATING

TOTAL REVENUES

EXPENDITURES

| PUBLIC SERVICE DIVISION |
| :--- |
| POLICE SERVICES |
| FIRE SERVICES |
| SANITATION COLLECTION |
| OTHER PUBLIC SERVICES |
| PUBLIC SERVICE DIVISION |
| OPERATIONS |
| CONTRACT SERVICES |
| BUILDING SERVICES |
| GENERAL GOVERNMENT |
| MUNICIPAL COURT |
| PUBLIC WORKS |
| OPERATION DIVISIONS |
| TOTAL PUBLIC \& OPERATING |
| NON-OPERATING |
| CAPITAL PROGRAMS |
| TOTAL NON-OPERATING |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES |

REVENUE OVER/(UNDER) EXPENDITURES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIOR } \\ & \text { YTD } \end{aligned}$ | CURRENT BUDGET | MONTHLY ACTUAL | YTD ACTUAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,711,737 | 6,475,089 | 5,379,759 | 5,379,759 |
| 31,043 | 382,000 | 47,825 | 47,825 |
| 5 | 432,000 | 22,731 | 22,731 |
| 6,400 | 88,241 | 11,499 | 11,499 |
| 37,408 | 538,150 | 43,743 | 43,743 |
| 12,450 | 23,000 | 18,300 | 18,300 |
| 0 | 136,500 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 183,000 | 65,500 | 65,500 |
| 111 | 60,000 | 17,263 | 17,263 |
| 3,799,154 | 8,317,980 | 5,606,619 | 5,606,619 |
| 2,936 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2,936 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,802,091 | \$8,318,980 | \$5,606,619 | \$5,606,619 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIOR } \\ & \text { YTD } \end{aligned}$ | CURRENT BUDGET | MONTHLY ACTUAL | YTD ACTUAL |
| 354,965 | 2,412,669 | 525,389 | 525,389 |
| 195,653 | 1,824,868 | 228,109 | 228,109 |
| 44,120 | 550,105 | 0 | 0 |
| 2,502 | 20,900 | 1,281 | 1,281 |
| 597,240 | 4,808,542 | 754,779 | 754,779 |
| 45,908 | 447,382 | 881 | 881 |
| 21,804 | 282,500 | 4,273 | 4,273 |
| 69,631 | 1,035,882 | 74,644 | 74,644 |
| 2,859 | 38,250 | 267 | 267 |
| 21,194 | 256,250 | 8,230 | 8,230 |
| 161,395 | 2,060,264 | 88,296 | 88,296 |
| \$758,635 | \$6,868,807 | \$843,075 | \$843,075 |
| 12,568 | 3,109,176 | 0 | 0 |
| 12,568 | 3,109,176 | 0 | 0 |
| \$771,204 | \$9,977,983 | \$843,075 | \$843,075 |
| 3,030,887 | $(1,659,003)$ | 4,763,544 | 4,763,545 |


| $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { BUDGET } \end{gathered}$ | BUDGET <br> BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: |
| 83.1\% | 1,095,330 |
| 12.5\% | 334,175 |
| 5.3\% | 409,269 |
| 13.0\% | 76,742 |
| 8.1\% | 494,407 |
| 79.6\% | 4,700 |
| 0.0\% | 136,500 |
| 35.8\% | 117,500 |
| 28.8\% | 42,737 |
| 67.4\% | 2,711,361 |
|  | 1,500 |
|  | 1,500 |
| 67.4\% | \$2,712,861 |


|  | BUDGET <br> BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: |
| 21.8\% | 1,887,280 |
| 12.5\% | 1,596,760 |
| 0.0\% | 550,105 |
| 6.1\% | 19,619 |
| 15.7\% | 4,053,763 |
| 0.2\% | 446,501 |
| 1.5\% | 278,227 |
| 7.2\% | 961,238 |
| 0.7\% | 37,983 |
| 3.2\% | 248,020 |
| 4.3\% | 1,971,969 |
| 12.3\% | \$6,025,732 |


| $0.0 \%$ |  | $3,109,176$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | $3,109,176$ |
| $8.4 \%$ |  | $\$ 9,134,908$ |

Statement of Revenue \& Expenditures For Month Ended: January 31, 2023

## GENERAL FUND REVENUES

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIOR } \\ \text { YTD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CURRENT BUDGET | MONTHLY ACTUAL | YTD ACTUAL | \% BUDGET | BUDGET <br> BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-4101 | Property Tax (M\&O) | 3,711,737 | 6,475,089 | 5,379,759 | 5,379,759 | 83.1\% | 1,095,330 |
|  | Unearned/Adjusted | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | Total Property Tax : | 3,711,737 | 6,475,089 | 5,379,759 | 5,379,759 | 83.1\% | 1,095,330 |
| 10-4150 | Sales Tax | 31,043 | 382,000 | 47,825 | 47,825 | 12.5\% | 334,175 |
|  | Total Tax Collection: | 3,742,780 | 6,857,089 | 5,427,584 | 5,427,584 | 79.2\% | 1,429,505 |
| Permits \& Inspections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-4203 | Plat Reviews | 2,050 | 9,750 | 1,750 | 1,750 | 17.9\% | 8,000 |
| 10-4204 | Code Enforcement Citations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 |
| 10-4205 | Contractor Registration | 1,860 | 10,650 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 14.1\% | 9,150 |
| 10-4206 | Drainage Reviews | 1,000 | 45,000 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 7.3\% | 41,700 |
| 10-4207 | Permits \& Inspection Fees | 32,248 | 471,000 | 36,943 | 36,943 | 7.8\% | 434,057 |
| 10-4208 | Board of Adjustment Fees | 250 | 1,750 | 250 | 250 | 14.3\% | 1,500 |
|  | Total Permits \& Inspections: | 37,408 | 538,150 | 43,743 | 43,743 | 8.1\% | 494,407 |
| Municipal Court |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-4300 | Court Fines | 5,889 | 85,000 | 10,291 | 10,291 | 12.1\% | 74,709 |
| 10-4301 | Building Security Fund | 179 | 1,100 | 423 | 423 |  | 677 |
| 10-4302 | Truancy Prevention | 182 | 1,116 | 431 | 431 |  | 685 |
| 10-4303 | Local Municipal Tech Fund | 146 | 1,000 | 345 | 345 |  | 655 |
| 10-4304 | Local Municipal Jury Fund | 4 | 25 | 9 | 9 |  | 16 |
|  | Total Municipal Court: | 6,400 | 88,241 | 11,499 | 11,499 | 13.0\% | 76,742 |
| Investment Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-4400 | Interest Revenue | 111 | 60,000 | 17,263 | 17,263 | 28.8\% | 42,737 |
|  | Total Investment Income: | 111 | 60,000 | 17,263 | 17,263 | 28.8\% | 42,737 |
| Agencies \& Alarms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-4508 | SEC-Registration | 12,450 | 23,000 | 18,300 | 18,300 | 79.6\% | 4,700 |
|  | Total Agencies \& Alarms: | 12,450 | 23,000 | 18,300 | 18,300 | 79.6\% | 4,700 |
| Franchise Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-4602 | Cable Franchise | 0 | 102,000 | 0 |  | 0.0\% | 102,000 |
| 10-4605 | Power/Electric Franchise | 0 | 296,000 | 22,702 | 22,702 | 7.7\% | 273,298 |
| 10-4606 | Gas Franchise | 0 | 25,000 | 0 |  | 0.0\% | 25,000 |
| 10-4607 | Telephone Franchise | 0 | 7,000 | 0 |  | 0.0\% | 7,000 |
| 10-4608 | Wireless Franchise | 5 | 2,000 | 29 | 29 | 1.5\% | 1,971 |
|  | Total Franchise Revenue: | 5 | 432,000 | 22,731 | 22,731 | 5.3\% | 409,269 |
| Donations \& In Lieu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-4702 | Kinkaid School Contribution |  | 183,000 | 65,500 | 65,500 | 35.8\% | 117,500 |
| 10-4703 | Metro Congested Mitigation |  | 136,000 | 0 |  | 0.0\% | 136,000 |
| 10-4704 | Intergovernmental Revenues |  | 500 | 0 |  |  | 500 |
| 10-4800 | Misc. Income |  | 500 | 0 |  |  | 500 |
| 10-4801 | Donations | 907 | 500 | 0 |  |  | 500 |
| 10-4802 | Reimbursement Proceeds | 2,029 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 10-4803 | CIP Cost Share |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | Total Donations \& In Lieu: | 2,936 | 320,500 | 65,500 | 65,500 | 20.4\% | 255,000 |
|  | Total Revenue Received | 3,802,091 | 8,318,980 | 5,606,619 | 5,606,619 | 67.4\% | 2,712,361 |
|  | FY21: Unearned Adjusted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | TOTAL REVENUES: | \$3,802,091 | \$8,318,980 | 5,606,619 | 5,606,619 | 67.4\% | \$2,712,361 |

Statement of Revenue \& Expenditures For Month Ended: January 31, 2023

| GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIOR } \\ \text { YTD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CURRENT BUDGET | MONTHLY ACTUAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { YTD } \\ \text { ACTUAL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { BUDGET } \end{gathered}$ | BUDGET <br> BALANCE |
| PUBLIC SERVICE DIVISION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Events |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-510-5001 | Community Celebrations |  | 5,000 |  |  | 0.0\% | 5,000 |
|  | Community Events: | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 5,000 |
| Police Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-510-5010 | MVPD Operations | 346,077 | 2,264,745 | 472,056 | 472,056 | 20.8\% | 1,792,689 |
| 10-510-5011 | MVPD Auto Replacement | 8,888 | 53,333 | 53,333 | 53,333 | 100.0\% | 0 |
| 10-510-5012 | MVPD Capital Expenditure |  | 94,591 |  |  | n/a | 94,591 |
|  | Police Services: | 354,965 | 2,412,669 | 525,389 | 525,389 | 21.8\% | 1,887,280 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-510-5020 | Miscellaneous |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 |
|  | Total Miscellaneous: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 |
| Sanitation Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-510-5030 | Sanitation Collection | 43,255 | 539,319 |  |  | 0.0\% | 539,319 |
| 10-510-5031 | Sanitation Fuel Charge | 865 | 10,786 |  |  | 0.0\% | 10,786 |
|  | Sanitation Collection: | 44,120 | 550,105 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 550,105 |
| Library Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-510-5040 | Spring Branch Library |  | 1,500 |  |  | 0.0\% | 1,500 |
|  | Library Services: | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1,500 |
| Street Lighting Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-510-5050 | Street Lighting | 2,502 | 14,400 | 1,281 | 1,281 | 8.9\% | 13,119 |
|  | Street Lighting Services: | 2,502 | 14,400 | 1,281 | 1,281 | 8.9\% | 13,119 |
| Fire Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-510-5060 | Villages Fire Department | 195,653 | 1,824,868 | 228,109 | 228,109 | 12.5\% | 1,596,760 |
|  | Fire Services: | 195,653 | 1,824,868 | 228,109 | 228,109 | 12.5\% | 1,596,760 |
|  | TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE: | \$597,240 | \$4,808,542 | \$754,779 | \$754,779 | 15.7\% | \$4,053,763 |

## Piney Point Village

General Fund Expenditures - Page 2

## CONTRACT SERVICE DIVISION

| $10-520-5101$ | Grant Administration |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10-520-5102$ | Accounting/Audit |
| $10-520-5103$ | Engineering |
| $10-520-5104$ | Legal |
| $10-520-5105$ | Tax Appraisal-HCAD |
| $10-520-5107$ | Animal Control |
| $10-520-5108$ | IT Hardware/Software \& Support |
| $10-520-5109$ | Urban Forester |
| $10-520-5110$ | Mosquito Control |



## Piney Point Village

General Fund Expenditures - Page 3

GENERAL GOVERNMENT DIVISION

| Administrative |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Expenditures |  |
| $10-540-5108$ | Information Technology |
| $10-540-5202$ | Auto Allowance/Mileage |
| $10-540-5203$ | Bank Fees |
| $10-540-5204$ | Dues/Seminars/Subscriptions |
| $10-540-5205$ | Elections |
| $10-540-5206$ | Legal Notices |
| $10-540-5207$ | Miscellaneous |
| $10-540-5208$ | Citizen Communication |
| $10-540-5209$ | Office Equipment \& Maintenance |
| $10-540-5210$ | Postage |
| $10-540-5211$ | Meeting Supplies |
| $10-540-5212$ | Rent/Leasehold/Furniture |
| $10-540-5213$ | Supplies/Storage |
| $10-540-5214$ | Telecommunications |
| $10-540-5215$ | Travel \& Training |
| $10-540-5216$ | Statutory Legal Notices |



## Piney Point Village

General Fund Expenditures - Page 4

MUNICIPAL COURT DIVISION

| Supplies \& Office Expenditures |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10-550-5108 | Information Technology |
| 10-550-5204 | Dues \& Subscriptions |
| 10-550-5207 | Misc Supplies |
| 10-550-5211 | Meeting Supplies |
| 10-550-5213 | Office Supplies |
| 10-550-5215 | Travel \& Training <br> Supplies and Office Expenditures: |
| Insurance |  |
| 10-550-5353 | Employee Insurance |
|  | Insurance |
| Court Operations |  |
| 10-550-5403 | Credit Card Charges |
| 10-550-5404 | Judge/Prosecutor/Interpreter |
| 10-550-5406 | State Comptroller/OMNI/Linebar |
| 10-550-5408 | Supplies/Miscellaneous |
| 10-550-5410 | OmniBase Services of Texas |



## Piney Point Village

## General Fund Expenditures - Page 5

## CAPITAL OUTLAY PROGRAMS

| General Capital / Maintenance Programs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10-570-5602$ | Drainage Ditch Maintenance |
| $10-570-5606$ | Road/Drainage Projects |
| $10-570-5701$ | 2019 Maintenance Projects |
| $10-570-5702$ | 2020 Paving Improvements |
| $10-570-5703$ | 2021 Paving Improvements |
| $10-570-5806$ | Drainage and Sidewalks |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Major Capital / Maintenance Programs |  |
|  | Surrey Oaks |
| $10-570-5808$ | Wilding Lane |
| $10-580-5809$ | 96" Stormwater Replacement |
| $10-580-5810$ | Tokeneke - Country Squire |
| $10-580-5821$ | Williamsburg |
| $10-580-5822$ | Bothwell Way |
| $10-580-5823$ | Windermere Outfall Project |
| $10-580-5824$ | Smithdale Landscape/Sidewalk |
| $10-580-5825$ | Greenbay Beautification |
| $10-580-5826$ | Harris Co. Signal Participation |
|  | Capital Programming |



## Piney Point Village

Statement of Revenue \& Expenditures For Month Ended: January 31, 2023


# City of Piney Point Village Monthly Tax Office Report January 31, 2023 

Prepared by: Elizabeth Ruiz, Tax Assessor/Collector
A. Current Taxable Value $\$ 2,932,974,310$
B. Summary Status of Tax Levy and Current Receivable Balance:

|  | Current 2022 <br> Tax Year |  | Delinquent 2021 \& Prior Tax Years |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Levy 0.25514 | \$ | 6,994,791.16 | \$ | - | \$ | 6,994,791.16 |
| Carryover Balance |  | - |  | 147,884.68 |  | 147,884.68 |
| Adjustments |  | 488,399.49 |  | (2,962.96) |  | 485,436.53 |
| Adjusted Levy |  | 7,483,190.65 |  | 144,921.72 |  | 7,628,112.37 |
| Less Collections Y-T-D |  | 6,039,701.21 |  | (1,827.22) |  | 6,037,873.99 |
| Receivable Balance | \$ | 1,443,489.44 | \$ | 146,748.94 | \$ | 1,590,238.38 |

C. COLLECTION RECAP:

| Current Month: | Current 2022 <br> Tax Year |  | Delinquent 2021 \& Prior Tax Years |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Tax | \$ | 3,032,960.20 | \$ | $(1,827.22)$ | \$ | 3,031,132.98 |
| Penalty \& Interest |  | - |  | 190.35 |  | 190.35 |
| Attorney Fees |  | - |  | 265.83 |  | 265.83 |
| Other Fees |  | 19.47 |  | 3.01 |  | 22.48 |
| Total Collections | \$ | 3,032,979.67 | \$ | $(1,368.03)$ | \$ | 3,031,611.64 |
| Year-To-Date: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & 2022 \\ & \text { Tax Year } \end{aligned}$ | Delinquent 2021 \& Prior Tax Years |  | Total |  |
| Base Tax: | \$ | 6,039,701.21 | \$ | $(1,827.22)$ | \$ | 6,037,873.99 |
| Penalty \& Interest |  | - |  | 190.35 |  | 190.35 |
| Attorney Fees |  | - |  | 265.83 |  | 265.83 |
| Other Fees |  | 19.47 |  | 3.01 |  | 22.48 |
| Total Collections | \$ | 6,039,720.68 | \$ | $(1,368.03)$ | \$ | 6,038,352.65 |
| Percent of Adjusted Levy |  | 80.71\% |  |  |  | 80.69\% |

## MONTHLY TAX OFFICE REPORT <br> Tax A/R Summary by Year

January 31, 2023

| YEAR | BEGINNINGBALANCEAS OF 12/31/2022 |  | ADJUSTMENTS |  | COLLECTIONS |  | ENDINGBALANCEAS OF 01/31/2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | \$ | 43,690.21 | \$ | $(1,301.21)$ | \$ | (226.08) | \$ | 42,615.08 |
| 2020 |  | 28,555.27 |  | - |  | - |  | 28,555.27 |
| 19 |  | 22,688.76 |  | - |  | - |  | 22,688.76 |
| 18 |  | 13,064.06 |  | (1,661.75) |  | $(1,661.75)$ |  | 13,064.06 |
| 17 |  | 4,648.01 |  | - |  | 30.09 |  | 4,617.92 |
| 16 |  | 4,577.04 |  | - |  | 30.52 |  | 4,546.52 |
| 15 |  | 4,154.85 |  | - |  | - |  | 4,154.85 |
| 14 |  | 3,783.69 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,783.69 |
| 13 |  | 3,467.82 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,467.82 |
| 12 |  | 2,787.74 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,787.74 |
| 11 |  | 3,180.89 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,180.89 |
| 10 |  | 3,007.68 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,007.68 |
| 09 |  | 2,737.28 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,737.28 |
| 08 |  | 2,491.47 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,491.47 |
| 07 |  | 2,455.76 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,455.76 |
| 06 |  | 2,365.71 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,365.71 |
| 05 |  | 75.13 |  | - |  | - |  | 75.13 |
| 04 |  | 63.95 |  | - |  | - |  | 63.95 |
| 03 |  | 44.68 |  | - |  | - |  | 44.68 |
| 02 |  | 44.68 |  | - |  | - |  | 44.68 |
|  | \$ | 147,884.68 | \$ | $\underline{(2,962.96)}$ | \$ | $\underline{(1,827.22)}$ | \$ | 146,748.94 |

The View Construction Group, LLC.
15824 Grant Rd.
Cypress, TX 77429

## PROPOSAL

DATE:
TO: Cary / Piney Point
Date 2/15/23
PROPOSAL \#
202
CUSTOMER ID:
LANDSCAPE

| SALESPERSON | JOB | PAYMENT TERMS | DUE DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rigo Fernandez | City of Piney Point | Proposal | $2 / 15 / 23$ |



MAKE ALL CHECKS PAYABLETO
Thank you for your business!

## Cary Moran

| From: | Jon Seipel [jon@bowndsnursery.com](mailto:jon@bowndsnursery.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, January 23, 2023 12:59 PM |
| To: | Cary Moran |
| Subject: | Blalock Tree Quote |

## Cary,

Our price for the fifteen gallon Cedar Elm to be planted on Blalock is $\$ 245$ each $\ldots \$ 7,350$ extended.
It includes delivery, planting, mulching, staking and one year guarantee if maintained in accordance with our instructions. It is also based on a clear site (no underground obstructions).
Thank you for your interest.
Jon
Bownds Nursery

Moon Valley Nurseries
11222 Katy Freeway
Houston, TX 77043
713-234-1966
713-465-1822

Bill To:

Jose Gomez
city of piney point 832-849-8446

## Sales Agreement

Sales Agreement \#: 5003
Account \#: 000270011079
Page: 1 of 1
Date: 2/24/2023
Time:
Cashier:
Register \#:
Ship To: Jose Gomez
city of piney point 832-849-8446

| Rep | Description | Quantity | Price | Extended |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| bamoore | Get To The Job (Choose Date) | $\$ 249.99$ | $\$ 249.99$ |  |
|  | https://www.moonvalleynurseries.com/services/delivery-and- <br> planting <br> Customer Gate Code: |  |  |  |
| bamoore | All PricesReflectCurrent Ads | 1 | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| bamoore | Elm Cedar (Super) PS | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 366.66$ | $\$ 10,999.80$ |
| bamoore | Super 1yr Protection Plan | 30 | $\$ 100.00$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ |
|  | For WEATHER PROTECTION PROGRAM terms and <br> conditions, please visit: <br> https://www.moonvalleynurseries.com/weather-protection- <br> faq |  |  |  |
| bamoore | Super MVP Pro Care. |  |  |  |
|  | $* * * M i n i m u m ~ M V P ~ P r o ~ C a r e ~ p u r c h a s e ~ i s ~ \$ 200 * * * ~ M V P ~ P r o ~$ <br> Care will begin during the first growing season after your <br> trees 90-day transplant period to ensure optimal growing <br> conditions. Customer is responsible for calling 888-589-0092 <br> to schedule their Pro Care |  | $\$ 50.00$ | $\$ 1,500.00$ |

I AGREE TO ALL TERMS AND CONDITIONS
$\times \quad$ ON THE BACK OF THE INVOICE
Thank you for shopping Moon Valley Nursery

| Sub Total | $\$ 15,749.79$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Sales Tax | $\$ 1,299.36$ |
| Total | $\$ 17,049.15$ |

Change Due $\quad \$ 0.00$

## Permit Refund

Check Request

| Date Request: 02/23/2023 | Check Request Amount: $\$ 12,242.30$ | Trans Code: Incode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Credit Card Date: 11/04/2022 | Original Credit Card Processed Date: 11/04/2022 | Property Address: 11502 Shadow Way St |
| Permit \#: Incode; P\# 19346 | Applicant Name: Steve Hullinger w/Build 48 | Contact Phone Number: (713) 204-8322 |
| Builder Contact Name and Address: <br> Build 48 <br> 9119 Highway 6 Suite 230216 Missouri City, Texas 77459 (713) 204-8322 | Original Resident Address: | *Notes: <br> House being torn down due to deed restriction -encroachment violation from the neighbors/residents and nonactive homeowner association. Builder ended up tearing down the house. Builder is requesting full permit refund from the city. |
| Builder Phone \& E-Mail; Steve Hullinger w/ Build 48 (713) 204-8322 | Residents Name: Original Spec House | Original Permit Number: P\# 19346 |
| Original Receipt Transaction <br> Receipt: \#00023211 <br> Trans: \# 110.0000 <br> Term: 998 <br> Ref: Credit Card/AMEX <br> Date: 11/04/2022 <br> Time: 09:53 a.m. | Notes; <br> See the attached copy of the credit card receipt. | Make Check Payable: <br> Build 48 LLC <br> 9119 Highway 6, Suite 230216 <br> Missouri City, Texas 77459 <br> (713)204-8322 cell phone |
|  | Check Date: | Account Number: |
|  | Check Number: | Approved By: |
| Requested by : <br> Annette Arriaga |  | Check Refund Amount $\$ 12,242.30$ <br> Permit Refund Amount Total |

Phone: (713) 782-1757 / Fax: (713) 782-3178

## PERMIT APPLICATI

## PROPERTY OWNER INFO



713-782-0275
***** R FP R NT RECEIPT*****
DATE: 09.22.2021
SITE ADDRESS: 11502 SHADOW WAY ST., HOUS
LOT: $\qquad$ BLOCK:

2
REC\#: 00023211 11/04/2022 9:53 AM

PROPERTY OWNER: MAILING ADDRESS: MAIL 1503 MEADOWSWEET DR., PHONE NUMBER: $281 \cdot 850 \cdot 5090$
$\qquad$ -
FAX NUMBER: EMAIL:

CONTRACTOR INFORM


OPER: AA TERM: 998 SUI REF\#: AMEX ACCT \#: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX AUTH \#: 802294 TRAN \#: 000000005752

TYPE: PURCHASE APP NAME: American Express ENTRY MODE: CHIP

AMOUNT USO $\$ 12,242.30$
EMU DETAILS:
AC: A9ODDEB5C350C7C2
TRAN: 100.0000 BUILDING PROJECTS
$19346 \quad 12,242.30 \mathrm{CR}$ VELLANI, SULTAN NICK 11502 SHADOW WAY LANE
NEW
12,242.30CR
TENDERED: $\quad 12,242.30$ CREDIT CARD
APPLIED: $12,242.30-$
CHANGE: 0.00


$\qquad$
$\qquad$

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT
STEVE HUNGER, BUILD 48
PRINT NAME OF APPLICANT


PRINT NAME OF HOMEOWNER
(Homeowner signatures are required for all new single-family homes, additions, and renovations.)
THE UNDERSIGNED, IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF THE BUILDING AND ZONING ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF PINEY POINT VILLAGE, HEREBY APPLIES FOR THE PERMIT DESCRIBED HEREIN. APPLICANT HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT ALL PROVISIONS OF THE BUILDING LAWS AND ORDINANCES WILL BE COMPLIED WITH AND THAT ALL STATEMENTS MADE HEREIN ARE TRUE AND CORRECT.

NOTE: No use of any building is permitted without a Certificate of Occupancy, including the storage of any items. Use of building prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy will require the gas and electric to be terminated. Not to exclude other penalties. The City of Piney Point Village has the right to include the current resident/home owner in every aspect of the building permitting process.

# NEW CONSTRUCTION PERMIT 

PROJECT ADDRESS: SUBDIVISION: NEIGHBORHOOD:

ZONING
FLOOD ZONE BUILDING CODE:

OWNER:
ADDRESS
PHONE 1:
PHONE 2:
CELL\#:
EMAIL:
HOA STATUS:
NAME:
PHONE\#:
NOTE 1: NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOME
NOTE 2: VALUE $\$ 2,300,000.00$
FEE CODE DESCRIPTION
BLD FEE BUILDING PERMIT FEE
BLD INS

PERMIT DATE: 11/04/2022

| EXPIRES: | $11 / 04 / 2023$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| LOT\#: | 6 |
| BLK\#: | 2 | HCAD\# 1000610000006


| FRONT | RIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |
| REAR | LEFT |

CONTRACTOR: BUILD 48
ADDRESS: 9119 HWY 6 SUITE 230216 MISSOURI CITY TX 77459
PHONE 1: 732-0483
PHONE 2:
CELL:
EMAIL:
EST. VALUE: \$2,300,000.00

RIGHT
LEFT

AMOUNT
\$11,742.30 $\$ 500.00$
\$12,242.30

IMPORTANT MESSAGE
No use of any building is permitted without a Certificate of Occupancy, including the storage of any items. Use of the bullding prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy will require the gas and electric to be terminated, not excluding other penialties. NO WORK ALLOWED ON SUNDAYS.
It is understood and acknowledged that any landscaping or site improvements performed in addition to the permitted drainage plan must adhere to the permitted drainage plan. If such landscaping or site improvements do not adhere to the permitted drainage plan and are significantly different than the permitted drainage plan, a Certificate of Occupancy will NOT be issued. In the event landscaping or site improvements are performed which are in conflict with the permitted drainage plan, then such landscaping and site improvements shall be changed to adhere to the permitted drainage plan, or a new drainage plan must be submitted and approved prior to a Certificate of Occupancy being issued.

It is the builder's/owner of the property's responsibility to make sure that the project job site is cleaned and maintained during the construction process.

It is the builder's/owner of the property's responsibility to make sure that all building materials and construction debris is secured during severe weather and extreme winds.

Applicant hereby certifies that all provisions of the Building Laws and Ordinances will be complied with and that all


## RE: 11502 Shadow Way Lane (refund)

Moore, Joseph [Joseph.Moore@hdrinc.com](mailto:Joseph.Moore@hdrinc.com)
Thu 2/23/2023 3:31 PM
To: Annette Arriaga [bldgofficial@pineypt.org](mailto:bldgofficial@pineypt.org)
Cc: Croley, Aaron [Aaron.Croley@hdrinc.com](mailto:Aaron.Croley@hdrinc.com)
Annette,

Attached is a Summary of Charges for drainage reviews and inspections for 11502 Shadow Way. I also attached all of the associated invoices with charges highlighted in yellow for backup documentation if needed.

Please let us know if you have any questions or need different information.

Thanks,

Joe Moore, P.E., CFM
P 713.622.9264 D 713.576.3660
hdrinc.com/follow-us

From: Annette Arriaga [bldgofficial@pineypt.org](mailto:bldgofficial@pineypt.org)
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2023 3:19 PM
To: Moore, Joseph [joseph.moore@hdrinc.com](mailto:joseph.moore@hdrinc.com)
Cc: Croley, Aaron [Aaron.Croley@hdrinc.com](mailto:Aaron.Croley@hdrinc.com)
Subject: 11502 Shadow Way Lane (refund)

CAUTION: [EXTERNAL] This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

This is the project that we want to refund the builder since the HOA is requiring them to tear it down. But, we want to know the total engineering cost already spent on this from you guys end?

Please send that over to me.

Thanks,


## Annette R. Arriaga

Director of Planning, Development, \&
Permits

City Building Official
(713) 782-1757
| bldgofficial@pineypt.org

7676 Woodway Dr, Suite 300

| 11502 Shadow Way <br> Total Invoiced to Date <br> $2 / 23 / 2023$ |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| INVOICE DATE | AMOUNT |  |
| Dec-22 | $\$$ | 49.87 |
| Nov-22 | $\$$ | 124.68 |
| Oct-22 | $\$$ | 209.83 |
| Sep-22 | $\$$ | 124.68 |
| Aug-22 | $\$$ | - |
| Jul-22 | $\$$ | - |
| Jun-22 | $\$$ | 202.53 |
| May-22 | $\$$ | 135.02 |
| Apr-22 | $\$$ | 405.06 |
| Mar-22 | $\$$ | - |
| Feb-22 | $\$$ | - |
| Jan-22 | $\$$ | 289.19 |
| Dec-21 | $\$$ | - |
| Nov-21 | $\$$ | 376.35 |
| Oct-21 | $\$$ | 188.17 |
| Sep-21 | $\$$ | - |
| Aug-21 | $\$$ | 63.69 |
|  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 6 9 . 0 7}$ |



## Check Refund Request

(Temporary Certificate of Occupancy)

| Date Request: $02 / 24 / 2023$ | Check Request Amount: $\$ 25,000.00$ | Trans Code: Incode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Check in Date: 07/09/2022 Check \#9874 | Original Credit Card Processed Date: | Property Address: <br> 3 Hacienda Lane <br> (New Single Family) |
| Permit \#: Incode; P\# 17395 | Applicant Name: Clayton Langhoff (979) 224-6593) | Contact Phone Number: Clayton Langhoff <br> (979) 224-6593 |
| Builder Contact Name and Address: 800 Builders/Metropolitan Builders | Original Resident Address: Originally a spec house | *Notes: <br> The builder paid by check for the temporary certificate of occupancy Temporary extension deadline was 09.09.2022. <br> HOR Engineering approved the final as built on 01.04.2023. <br> They exceeded the allowable time frame of extensions per our current temp certificate of occupancy ordinance. <br> Detail letter on file from builder. See attached. <br> Builder is requesting full refund. <br> Request city council to review request. They finally met all obligations and requirements of the city only on 02.03.2023. |
| Builder Phone/ E-Mail; <br> clayton@metropolitancustomhomes.net | Resident Name: <br> Not known | Original Permit Number: <br> P\# 17395 |
| Original Receipt Transaction <br> Receipt: \#00022501 <br> Trans: \# 110.0000 <br> Term: 998 <br> Ref: Check <br> Date: 07/21/2022 <br> Time: 10:10 A.M. | Notes; <br> See the attached copy of the supporting documents. | Make Check Payable: <br> Metropolitan Custom Homes 800 Builders LLC <br> 952 Echo Lane, Suite 130 Houston, Texas 77024 |
|  | Check Number: | Approved By: |
| Requested by : <br> Annette Arriaga |  | Check Refund Amount \$25,000.00 <br> Temp Certificate of Occupancy Deposit |

## 3 Hacienda Detailed Report

The construction of 3 Hacienda Lane was a difficult, time-consuming task due to the weather conditions, parking, the accessibility of the road, and overall size of the project. At Metropolitan Custom Homes, we strive to work as quickly as possible and provide the best product to match the community in the City of Piney Point. Immediately upon receiving our certificate of occupancy we worked frantically to complete this home. The inspections, outside of drainage, were not an issue. The complications arose when the as-built survey and the approved drainage survey did not match up. I have put together a timeline below of the many, many, communications along the way to finally reaching approval.

August 10, 2022-Clayton emailed Annette referencing that no additional trees were needed on the property.

August 11, 2022- The initial as-built survey was signed and submitted.
August 12, 2022- Clayton requested a tree inspection. (I wanted to ensure ALLinspections were cleared, as it was still open, only leaving this final as-built survey to be approved.)

August 19, 2022- As-built survey has failed. (Clayton immediately emailed engineer and landscapers to address elevation issues to resolve at earliest possible time. Heavy rains were spotted in the forecast.)

August 22, 2022- Our survey company became involved to determine additional routes to explore all options to get this resolved as quickly as possible. (The survey company, Probstfeld, also works on drainage plans.)

August 24, 2022- Clayton emails Annette, addressing the rain that was coming throughout the week of August 24 and the following week. This delays landscapers from working and prolongs past the rain due to damaging the sod that was laid.

September 16, 2022- The next as-built survey was submitted to the City of Piney Point. Annette sent the survey to HDR the same day.

September 22, 2022-Another failed as-built was received. Elevations were being corrected.
September 29, 2022-Another as-built survey as submitted to the City of Piney Point.
October 3, 2022- Clayton reached out to determine if Elias, our engineer, could speak directly with HDR to determine, in detail, the corrections needed and get a better explanation of what is needed. We were advised that we cannot speak directly with HDR.

October 4, 2022- The as-built survey had failed. Corrections have been made to the drainage plan for resubmittal of the new drainage plan. The new drainage plan was submitted to match the existing asbuilt survey.

October 17, 2022- We had not heard back from HDR after following up. Annette said she had not heard anything back from HDR.

October 24, 2022- Annette had sent back the corrections from our previous as-built submittal from September 29, 2022. We lost a month here due to an email getting lost somewhere in the mix.

November 8, 2022-Our October 4, 2022 submittal came back with issue. We corrected them immediately.

November 9, 2022- Another drainage plan was submitted.
November 17, 2022- After failing yet again, Clayton followed up with the November 9, 2022 submittal pleading that we could get on a call with HDR to get this finally resolved.

November 23, 2022-Elias, our engineer, submitted his first drainage plan after speaking with HDR.
December 9, 2022- The as-built drainage plan was passed.
February 2, 2023- The drainage (drainage box check) final was passed.
Nearing the end of our construction, Metropolitan was told that our clients needed to be moved in by the end of July. While this was a shock, we pushed our hardest to make it happen. We had so many vehicles on the jobsite causing parking complications. At one point, I counted 34 workers onsite! As work continued to progress, the driveway became one of the last items to be completed. We delayed the driveway as long as we could to help our parking situation, but finally the driveway needed to be done which caused a delay in the build process. Being at the end of a dead-end road, this slowed us down due to the concrete needing to be cured before using. We know we are unable to park in front of others' homes; we even had our crews parking at another property of ours and carpooling over in an attempt to maximize workers onsite and minimize time loss.

Finally, move in day approached and Annette worked with us to get a temporary certificate. This was crucial as our clients' previous residential lease was expiring. The inspections remaining were focused on, with the as-built drainage being the number one priority. See the time-line above. Drainage is typically the most time-consuming inspection to complete as, the engineering firm, HDR, is only accessible for inspections one day a week, Thursday. As a builder, we are also not allowed to contact them without the permission the city. As drainage plans need to be revised, often there is a two-week span between submission and the result of the submission. This is extremely costly to getting our associated inspection completed and clearing up our temporary certificate of occupancy.

With our clients in their home, we began working through the drainage submission on August
11. Assuming approval, we would have completed our project on time. On August 12, Clayton requestioned a tree inspection and asked if any further inspections were nee ded. We wanted to ensure the drainage issue was the final issue that needed to be resolved. On August 19, we learned we did not pass our as-built drainage and the corrections began. Immediately, we began to discuss solutions with our engineer, survey company, and landscaper to get all hands on deck and resolve as quick as possible. Next, heavy rains were spotted in the forecast. This slowed the surveyors and the landscapers from being able to make grading adjustments to the grass and underlying dirt. We voiced this issue with Annette immediately as we knew the time constraints on us. As our clients already live in the home, we would not feel right destroying the property due to the saturated, newly laid sod.

Corrections were made and the following survey was submitted September 16. Annette immediately submitted the survey to HDR. We went back and forth the next two weeks, making adjustments per our engineer, submitting, and failing. We had submitted again September 29. On October 3, we reached out to have our engineer and HDR get on the same page to help resolve the
issues. Our corrections were not getting the job done. However, after requesting to speak directly with HDR, we were denied. A new submission for the September 29 failed survey was submitted on October 4. Weeks later, after not hearing back on the October 4 submission, we followed up on October 17. The following week, on October 24, we received the same correction from the September 29 submittal, causing us to lose 3 weeks. We continued to go back and forth failing submittals until we were allowed to speak with HDR. Our engineer and the HDR engineer immediately got on the same page and the PROPER corrections were made. On November 23, our new submittal was made. On December 9, our final as-built drainage was passed. Finally, we thought we had cleared all of our inspections.

However, there were a few small inspections that were needed to be completed. On January 17, Annette informed us of the items that were needed to be completed to clear this project. One being a final drainage walk. All of the inspections were resolved nearly immediately, except a final drainage walk. On January 26 , we failed the initial drainage walk due to mulch being on our drain covers after a significant rain. Finally, on February 2, we passed the final drainage walk and are now clear of all of our inspections.

Clearly, none of us wanted this job to last this long and the amount of headaches we have all gone through from this project are countless. The changes from the drainage plan due to the accessory structure and addition to the home did not help in speeding along the process, but we worked diligently to get through the issues and confusion that came with it. Finally, as our engineer was able to get on the phone with HDR and establish the issues they were having between each other, we were able to attack the correct issue and get this resolved in minimal time. We had not been on the same page as HDR and it cost us months.

We have a good working relationship with the City of Piney Point and will continue to learn and do our best to work through these issues as quickly and effectively as possible. This proje ct was a difficult one, but taught lessons that we will take into our other builds in the city. This will allow us to attack and correct issues sooner than later and be aware of certain things that we were previously uninformed of it being an issue.

Thank You,
Clayton Langhoff
Metropolitan Custom Homes
Project Manager
(979)224-6593


# City of Piney Point Village 

Metropolitan Custom Homes
800 Builders LLC
952 Echo Lane, Suite 130
Houston, Texas 77024

## RE: Temporary Certificate of Occupancy

## Ref: 3 Hacienda Lane

The City of Piney Point Village is issuing a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy authorizing your occupancy of 3 Hacienda Ln before the completion of the final City checklist.

Your signature below indicates that you have agreed to complete all City requirements within the next (45) days. Specifically, you agree that the $\$ 25,000$ fiscal security posted to the city will serve as a security to the city that the following items will be completed no later than the end of the day, Friday September 9, 2022:

- Decking Final
- Drainage Final
- Driveway/Flatwork Final
- Electrical Final-Generator
- Generator Final
- Final As-Built Topo Final
- Fence Final
- Irrigation Final
- Mailbox Final
- MVWA Clean Out
- Pool Final
- Tree Final

Failure to comply with or complete any item on the City's checklist for occupancy by the agreed upon such time, will result in the City revoking the Temporary Certificate of Occupancy and levying a fine of $\$ 2,000$ per day, to be taken from the posted security.
By signing below, you are acknowledging that you have requested and been given a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy and failure to perform as agreed will result in the revocation of the Temporary Certificate of Occupancy.


Robert Pennington
City Administrator/City of Piney Point Village

Agreed: Clayton Langhoff w/800 Builders $\qquad$

January 4, 2023
Mr. Albert Syzdek, Jr.
Probstfeld \& Associates
515 Park Grove Drive, Suite 102
Katy, Texas 77450
Re: On-Going Services
As-Built Review of 3 Hacienda Lane - Second Submittal
Piney Point Village, Texas
HDR Job No. 10336218

Dear. Mr. Syzdek,
We are in receipt of the As-Built Drainage Plan for the above referenced address, dated $12 / 13 / 2022$. Based on the submitted elevations, as well as your review and statement that the plan conforms to the approved drainage plan, the City interposes no objection to the asbuilt drainage plan. Please note, this does not necessarily mean that the entire plans, including all supporting data and elevations, have been completely checked and verified. However, the plan is signed, dated, and sealed by both a Professional Land Surveyor and a Professional Engineer, both of which are registered to practice in the State of Texas, which therefore conveys their professional responsibility and accountability.

## Please contact Ms. Annette Arriaga with the City of Piney Point Village at 713-7820271 to obtain your approved As-Built plan.

Sincerely,
HDR Engineering, Inc.


Aaron Croley, P.E., CFM
Project Engineer

## Enclosures

cc: Annette Arriaga - City of Piney Point Village


TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
VIA: Joe Moore, City Engineer
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on temporary trench shoring rental costs associated with the 96-inch CMP South Piney Point Road sinkhole.

## Agenda Item: 13

## Summary

As previously discussed with City Council, the equipment installed temporary trench protection for the open excavation on the 96 -inch CMP accrues a rental cost each month. The rental costs for the trench safety including $2-10$ 'x 20 ' trench boxes, $12-8$ ' x 20 ' steel places, and 100 ' feet of water jersey barriers is $\$ 23,120.97$. A discount was received for January's rental due to the first month of rentals included in the lump sum costs for the original trench protection installation. The January cost was $\$ 19,159.60$.

The following invoices provide the detailed breakdown of emergency rental cost.

Invoice
No. OPCS-006
ilities \& Concrete
Bill To:
City of Piney Point Village/HDR - Joseph Moore
7676 Woodway Dr.
Houston, TX 77063
Joseph Moore
joseph.moore@hdrinc.com

Project Name/No.
PPV 96-inch CMP - Temporary Shoring \& Water Barrier Rental
City of Piney Point Village/HDR - Joseph Moore


TOTAL \$23,120.97

Invoice
ilities \& Concrete
No. $\qquad$

Bill To:
Date $\qquad$
City of Piney Point Village/HDR - Joseph Moore
7676 Woodway Dr.
PO $\qquad$
Houston, TX 77063
Joseph Moore
joseph.moore@hdrinc.com
Project Name/No.
PPV 96-inch CMP - Temporary Shoring \& Water Barrier Rental
City of Piney Point Village/HDR - Joseph Moore


TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
VIA: Joe Moore, City Engineer
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on repair of six damaged guardrail posts on South Piney Point Road at Buffalo Bayou.

## Agenda Item: 14

## Summary

The city reported damage to six wooden posts on the a section of guardrail on the south bound lane of South Piney Point Road just north of the Buffalo Bayou bridge. HDR solicited quotes to replace these six wooden posts for discussion and possible action to approve the lowest quote.

Photos of damages are included. Contractors are finalizing quotes and are to be distributed at the meeting.


TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
VIA: Joe Moore, City Engineer
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Consideration and possible action on a proposal for professional engineering services for the Smithdale Sidewalk Improvements Project.

## Agenda Item: 15

## Summary

Council previously requested that HDR prepare a proposal for professional engineering services for the addition of a sidewalk on Smithdale Road. Attached is a proposal for design, bidding, and construction phase services for the project.

The budget includes funding for the eastern portion of Smithdale at a cost of $\$ 178,690$.

TO: Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator
VIA: Joe Moore, City Engineer
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Discuss and take possible action on the Engineer's Report.

## Agenda Item: 16

## Summary

The Engineer Status report includes the following:

- 96-inch CMP Sinkhole and Emergency Repair Project
- 96-inch CMP Replacement Project
- Tokeneke \& Country Squires Improvements Project
- Piney Point Circle - Additional Easements
- Green Vale Storm Sewer
- Williamsburg Drainage Improvements
- St. Francis \& South Cheska
- Development Process Improvements
- Smithdale Sidewalk Improvements
- Future Projects
- Asphalt Paving Improvement for Innisfree, Williamsburg, and Tokeneke Trail.
- Ditch Regrading for Memorial Drive, Green Oaks cul-de-sac, Wendover, \#8 Tokeneke.
- Potential Storm Sewer Cost Share Projects for Chuckanut, Greenvale, Innisfree, Jamestown, Williamsburg, Wendover, and Piney Point Circle.

Engineer's Status Report

City of Piney Point Village
HDR Engineering, Inc.
City Council Meeting Date: February 27, 2023
Submit to City: February 23, 2023

## CURRENT PROJECTS

1. 96-inch CMP Sinkhole and Emergency Repair Project

HDR continues to monitor the open trench for signs of erosion and failure of the stabilization. To-date, the temporary trench protection and stabilization appear to be working well and signs of erosion are minimal. The rental costs for the trench safety including 210 'x $20^{\prime}$ trench boxes, $12-8$ ' $\times 20^{\prime}$ steel places, and 100 ' feet of water jersey barriers is $\$ 23,120.97$. A discount was received for January's rental due to the first month of rentals included in the lump sum costs for the original trench protection installation. The January cost was $\$ 19,159.60$. Approval of these rental costs is included in a separate agenda item.

## 2. 96-inch CMP Replacement Project

HDR received comments back from HCFCD on the 96 -inch CMP Replacement Project. One comment was easily addressed and the other does not appear to pertain to this project's configuration. HDR is coordinating with the HCFCD watershed coordinator to work this comment out and resubmit back to HCFCD for approval. HDR is concurrently submitting the contract documents to the grant administrator to make sure all requirements of the ARPA funding are included in the documents. As soon as approval is received, HDR will be establishing bid dates with the City for advertising.

## 3. Tokeneke \& Country Squires Improvements Project

The Contractor has completed the scope of work on North Country Squire. On South Country Squire the only work remaining is the replacement of both curb inlet tops that were cracked and in need of repair. The Contractor submitted a cost of $\$ 3,790$ in total for the replacement of both inlet tops and this cost was approved by the Mayor. The work has been completed on Tokeneke with the exception of the driveway replacement at \#6 Tokeneke and the Contractor has finished correcting the right-of-way grading with the exception of a couple of locations. The resident at \#6 Tokeneke does not want the Contractor to pour the replacement driveway.

The Bothwell Storm Sewer Replacement Project was change ordered into the subject project for a total of $\$ 71,967.25$. By changing storm sewer pipe material, HDR was able to reduce the cost of the change order from the original amount of $\$ 103,417.25$. Residents on the street have been notified of the construction work and the Contractor has begun work on the storm sewer replacement which should take approximately four weeks to complete.

HDR will be scheduling a final walkthrough with the Contractor upon completion of the project to create a punch list of items that must be addressed prior to project closeout.

## 4. Piney Point Circle - Additional Easements

HDR has begun communicating with residents at 11408/11406 Memorial Drive about obtaining a drainage easement along the west side of their properties and is providing information to the residents as requested to facilitate these conversations. It is anticipated that meetings may occur next week to continue negotiating an easement.

## 5. Green Vale

City Council approved a quote from the Contractor to install storm sewer at 11317 Green Vale at the January City Council meeting contingent upon a $50 \%$ cost share agreement with the resident for storm sewer improvements. The resident stated that the total Contract price needed to be below approximately $\$ 48,000$ before he would be willing to participate in the project. He requested that the City obtain additional quotes for the work as he felt that the Contractor was providing a high cost for the change order. If Council desires, HDR can solicit a minimum of three quotes for the project.

## 6. Williamsburg Drainage Improvements

HDR is completing the design of the storm sewer system on Williamsburg and beginning plan reviews with private utility companies and the MVWA on the proposed improvements. Once the design is complete, and plans and updated Opinion of Probable Construction Costs will have to be presented to Williamsburg residents to re-confirm willingness to participate in the cost share proposal by the City. As of the last meeting, almost all residents were willing to participate with the exception of the resident at 11318 Williamsburg. The entire north side of the street cannot be completed without this resident's participation. HDR followed up with Mr. Estopinal (11310 Williamsburg) who was going to talk with the resident at 11318 Williamsburg about participating in the project. No update on the status of their conversation was available at the time of this report.

## 7. St. Francis \& South Cheska

HDR has issued a letter of no objections to the revised drainage plan which includes replacement of the ditch on the northeast side of the property with a 24 -inch storm sewer, stub-outs to lots on Cheska, and revisions to reflect an elevated playground bed that was installed on swings on the northwest side of the property.

## 8. Development Process Improvements

City Staff and HDR met with a developer to discuss and brainstorm ways of improving the drainage and as-built process. HDR discussed the ability for drainage inspections to be performed on ESRI GIS based Survey123. This would allow drainage inspection (or any inspection) reports to be completed on a phone app or iPad and allow photos and a geographic location tied to the inspection report. The report can be transmitted to the City and developer in real-time. HDR currently has the app constructed and is working on a dashboard to manage inspection requests and results at the City as well as working on possibilities of linking inspection requests to the app to self-populate the forms. HDR anticipates meeting with the City next week to review inspection workflow and integrate Annette's input in the final product.

HDR also anticipates meeting with the City and MyGovernmentNow next week for a demonstration on their permit submittal and management software which will assist in organization and tracking of permit submittals.

## 9. Smithdale Road Sidewalk Improvements

City Council requested at the last Council meeting that HDR provide a proposal for professional engineering services to design, bid and perform construction phase services for the installation of a sidewalk on the south side of Smithdale Road from North Piney Point Road to Lanecrest Lane and from Magnolia Circle to Hedwig Road. A proposal is presented in a separate agenda item for discussion and possible action. Councilman Dodds also requested that HDR establish communication with SBISD and set up a meeting to discuss potential cost sharing participation with SBISD. HDR has reached out to SBISD and will provide an update on meeting and willingness to participate once more information is received.

## FUTURE PROJECTS

## 10. Lift of Potential Asphalt Paving Improvement Projects:

- Innisfree
- As discussed during the November Engineering Meeting, the pavement on Innisfree, most specifically the cul-de-sac, is in poor condition and in need of repair or replacement.
- Williamsburg
- The pavement was noted as having a higher deterioration level in the 2018 Update to the Street Condition Assessment Document. Since that time a home was constructed on the street and two residents installed storm sewer to replace the existing ditch causing additional wear on the pavement. There is notable heavy cracking near the locations of those improvements. It is recommended that the pavement not be repaired or replaced until after potential storm sewer improvements are completed.
- Tokeneke Trail
- The street has seen considerable construction activity in recent years with two new home constructions and the current storm sewer improvements project. There are two potholes on the west end of the street in need of more immediate repairs.
- HDR anticipates providing budgetary level Opinion of Probable Construction Costs for each street at the Council meeting for planning purposes.


## 11. Ditch Regrading

- Memorial Drive
- Green Oaks cul-de-sac
- Wendover
- \#8 Tokeneke


## 12. List of Potential Storm Sewer Cost Share Projects

- Chuckanut
- Greenvale
- Innisfree
- Jamestown
- Williamsburg
- Wendover
- Piney Point Circle (if made public)

TO: The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington; City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Discuss and consider approval of the Minutes for the Regular Council Meeting held on January 23, 2023.

## Agenda Item: 17

## Informational Summary

The following is the draft of minutes from the regular council meeting held on Monday, January 23, 2023.

## Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the minutes from the regular council meeting held on January 23, 2023.

MINUTES OF A CITY OF PINEY POINT REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2023, 6:00 P.M. AT CITY HALL CHAMBERS, 7676 WOODWAY DRIVE, SUITE 300, HOUSTON, TEXAS.

Councilmembers Present: Mayor Mark Kobelan, Michael Herminghaus, Dale Dodds, Joel Bender, Aliza Dutt.

Councilmembers Absent: Brian Thompson.
City Representatives Present: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator; David Olson, City Attorney; Ray Schultz, Police Chief; Jim Huguenard, Police Commissioner; Annette Arriaga, Director of Planning and Development; Joe Moore, City Engineer.

## Call To Order

- Mayor Kobelan declared a quorum and called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.


## Pledge Of Allegiance

- Council led the Pledge of Allegiance to the United States of America Flag.


## Citizens Wishing to Address Council

> Michael Zeitlin's request to speak was granted under Agenda Item \#5, under discussion of residential building setbacks and notification to adjacent property.

- There were no other general comments.


## Agenda

1. Discuss and take possible action on the Memorial Villages Police Department Monthly Report.

- Chief Schultz reported the activities of the police department for the month of December.
- No action was taken.

2. Discuss and take possible action to reclassify selected line-item expenditures within the Memorial Villages Police Department General Operating Fund as an amendment to the Department's Fiscal Year 2022 Budget.

- Councilmember Herminghaus made a motion to approve the amendment as presented, seconded by Councilmember Bender.

AYES: Herminghaus, Dodds, Bender, and Dutt.
NAYS: None.

- The motion carried.

3. Discuss and take possible action on the Village Fire Department Monthly Report.

- Alternate Commissioner Dutt reported the activities of the fire department for the month of December.
- No action was taken.

4. Discuss and consider possible action on the Mayor's Monthly Report.

- No action was taken.

5. Discuss and consider possible action on the City Administrator's Monthly Report, including but not limited to: Financial Report for December 2022; Investment Report for December 2022; Residential building setbacks and notification to adjacent property; Historical Designations; Building Lease Options; Update on Specific Use Permit Projects.

- Michael Zeitlin, resident on Shadow Way, request the city to provide additional procedural notification to adjacent properties when a property owner or developer requests a permit for new construction. Mr. Zeitlin's concern is associated to recent construction at 11502 Shadow Way.
- Councilmember Bender made a motion to approve the Investment Report for December 2022, seconded by Councilmember Dodds.

AYES: Herminghaus, Dodds, Bender, and Dutt.
NAYS: None.

- The motion carried.

6. Discuss and take possible action on additional costs associated with the 96inch Corrugated Metal Pipe of South Piney Point Road Drainage Outfall collapse.

- Councilmember Dodds made a motion to approve additional emergency cost of $\$ 49,938.33$, for a total of $\$ 253,729.33$, to On Par Civil Services for repair to the collapsed 96 -inch drainage outfall, seconded by Councilmember Herminghaus.

AYES: Herminghaus, Dodds, Bender, and Dutt.
NAYS: None.

- The motion carried.

7. Discuss and take possible action on a change order to the Tokeneke and Country Squires Improvements Project for the addition of storm sewer improvements on Bothwell Way.

- Councilmember Bender made a motion to approve change order up to $\$ 90,000$ for the addition of improvements on Bothwell Way, seconded by Councilmember Herminghaus.

AYES: Herminghaus, Dodds, Bender, and Dutt.
NAYS: None.

- The motion carried.

8. Discuss and take possible action on a change order to the Tokeneke and Country Squires Improvements Project for the addition of storm sewer improvements on Green Vale Drive.

- Councilmember Dodds made a motion to approve a change order up to $\$ 60,000$, under a $50 \%$ resident cost share for the addition of improvements on Green Vale Drive, seconded by Councilmember Dutt.

AYES: Herminghaus, Dodds, Bender, and Dutt.
NAYS: None.

- The motion carried.

9. Discuss and take possible action on the Engineer's Report.

- No action was taken.

10. Discuss and take possible action on Resolution No. 2022.12.19B appointing members to the Board of Adjustment.

- Councilmember Bender made a motion to approve Resolution No. 2022.12.19B appointing members to the Board of Adjustment, seconded by Councilmember Dodds.

AYES: Herminghaus, Dodds, Bender, and Dutt.
NAYS: None.

- The motion carried.

11. Discuss and consider approval of the Minutes for the Regular Session Meeting held on December 19, 2022.

- Councilmember Bender made a motion to Minutes for the Regular Session Meeting held on December 19, 2022, seconded by Councilmember Dodds.

AYES: Herminghaus, Dodds, Bender, and Dutt.
NAYS: None.

- The motion carried.

12. Discuss and consider possible action on any future agenda items, meeting dates, and similar matters.

- No action was taken.

13. EXECUTIVE SESSION: The City Council will adjourn into closed executive session pursuant to Section 551.071 of the Texas Government Code (Consultation with Attorney).

- Executive Session was not conducted.

14. Discuss and consider possible action on items discussed in Executive Session.

- There was no Executive Session.


## 15. Adjourn.

- Councilmember Dodds made a motion for adjournment, seconded by Councilmember Bender.
- Mayor Kobelan adjourned the meeting at 7:46 p.m.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 27th day of February 2023.

Mark Kobelan
Mayor

TO: The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
FROM: Bobby Pennington; City Administrator
MEETING DATE: February 27, 2023
SUBJECT: Discuss and consider approval of the Minutes for the Special Council Meeting held on February 13, 2023.

## Agenda Item: 18

## Informational Summary

The following is the draft of minutes from the special council meeting held on Monday, February 13, 2023.

## Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the minutes from the special council meeting held on February 13, 2023.

MINUTES OF A CITY OF PINEY POINT REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MONDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2023, 6:00 P.M. AT CITY HALL CHAMBERS, 7676 WOODWAY DRIVE, SUITE 300, HOUSTON, TEXAS.

Councilmembers Present: Mayor Mark Kobelan, Michael Herminghaus, Dale Dodds, Joel Bender, Aliza Dutt, Brian Thompson.

City Representatives Present: Bobby Pennington, City Administrator; David Olson, City Attorney; Zeb Nash, Fire Commissioner.

## Call To Order

- Mayor Kobelan declared a quorum and called the meeting to order at 6:05 p.m.


## Pledge Of Allegiance

- Council led the Pledge of Allegiance to the United States of America Flag.


## Citizens Wishing to Address Council

- There were no citizens requesting to address council.


## Agenda

1. EXECUTIVE SESSION: The City Council will adjourn into closed executive session pursuant to Section 551.071 of the Texas Government Code (Consultation with Attorney), and pursuant to Section 551.074 of the Texas Government Code (Personnel Matters), specifically to deliberate the duties of the City's Commissioner to the Village Fire Commission.

- Council adjourned into closed session at 6:07 p.m.
- Council reconvened into open session at 7:03 p.m.

2. Discuss and consider possible action on items discussed in Executive Session.

- No action was taken.

3. Discuss and consider possible action on any future agenda items, meeting dates, and similar matters.

- No action was taken.

4. Adjourn.

- At 7:06 p.m., Councilmember Bender made a motion to adjourn. Councilmember Herminghaus seconded the motion and it passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 27th day of February 2023.

Mark Kobelan
Mayor

Robert Pennington
City Administrator / City Secretary


[^0]:    Bunkerhill:MVPDays 100\% of the EEOnlytier and 75\% of the remaining cost for EE+Spouse, EE+Child(ren), and EE+Family

[^1]:    Bunkerhill:MVPDpays $100 \%$ of the EE Onlytier and $75 \%$ of the remaining cost for EE + Spouse, EE + Child(ren), and EE+Family

[^2]:    Analyzing insurers＇over－all performance and financial strength is a task that requires specialized skills and in－depth technical understanding of all aspects of
    insurance company finances and operations．Insurance brokerages such as Alliant typically rely upon rating agencies for this type of market analysis．A．M．Best has been an industry leader in this area for many decades，utilizing a combination of quantitative and qualitative analysis of the information available in formulating
    ＊Alliant＇s standard protocol is to only place coverage with carriers with no less than an＂A－＂－rating from A．M．Best．However，where Alliant determines that it is prudent to consider coverage with a lower rated carrier，the financial rating of the carrier is to be disclosed to the client．Should Alliant becomes aware of a carrier＇s rating dropping below＂A－＂mid－policy period we will review and advise you of the situation and consider if an alternative carrier can be reasonably provided prior to A．M．Best has an extensive database of nearly 6,000 Life／Health，Property Casualty and International companies．You can visit them at www．ambest．com．

[^3]:    Robert Pennington, City Administrator/City Secretary

[^4]:    6) Construction Contractor will coordinate with the City's Urban

    Forester on any necessary tree protection measures prior to beginning work.

